

Youth Report Version of the Child & Adolescent Scale of Participation (CASP):



Psychometric properties & comparisons with the parent report version

Gary Bedell, PhD, Tufts University; Janette McDougall, PhD, Thames Valley Children's Centre, Virginia Wright, PhD, Bloorview Research Institute



Background & Purpose

- Participation in activities that provide a sense of accomplishment & enjoyment during childhood & youth helps to foster positive outcomes in adulthood¹⁻⁴
- Participation is an indicator of overall health & well-being and a key rehabilitation outcome¹⁻¹⁰
- Children & youth with disabilities are often restricted in their participation³⁻¹⁰
- Child & Adolescent Scale of Participation (CASP) identified as a key measure of children's participation⁶⁻⁸
- CASP originally designed as parent-report as part of Child & Family Follow-up Survey (CFFS) to assess outcomes & needs of children & youth with acquired brain injuries & their families.^{4,5} Now used separate from CFFS & for other children & youth
- CASP has prior evidence of test-retest reliability (ICC = 0.94), internal consistency (α = 0.96) & construct validity^{4,5}
- Prior factor analyses ⁴ indicated items loaded on 3 factors with 63% of variance explained:
 - 1) Social, leisure & communication (50%)
 - 2) Advanced daily activities (7 %)
 3) Basic daily activities & mobility (6%)
- Because the original CASP was parent-report, a youth-report version was developed to obtain youth perspectives
- PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY:
 - To examine psychometric properties of the Youth-report CASP
 - 2. To further validate the Parent-report CASP
 - To compare findings from the Youth-report CASP & Parent-report CASP

Methods

- Baseline data examined from longitudinal study on predictors of quality of life of youth (ages 11-17) with disabilities from 8 children's rehabilitation centers in Ontario, Canada 9,10
- Data examined from the CASP parent-report & new youth-report. The two versions have the same content & format. Youth or parents compare participation to same age youth
- 20 items divided into 4 subsections: 1) Home,
 2) School & 3) Community Participation, & 4)
 Home & Community Living
- Items rated on a 4-point scale (1=unable, 2=very limited, 3= somewhat limited, 4=age expected/full participation)
- Data analyses: Independent t-tests, Analysis of Variance, Intra-class correlation, Cronbach's alpha (α) & exploratory factor analyses

Participants (n= 409 youth with disabilities)

- Youth mean age was 14 years (SD = 2.2);55% were male
- 35% had cerebral palsy, 14% acquired brain injury, 11% communication disorders / cleft lip or palate, 8% spina bifida, 7% autism spectrum disorder, 6% developmental delay, 4% amputation, and 15% another condition
- Parents' mean age was 45 years (SD = 6.5);
 88% were female
- English spoken in 90% of families' homes, French in 2%, other languages in 8%

RESULTS

Group Differences in Youth CASP Scores

- Significant differences in CASP scores existed for disability groups (F = 4.33; p < 0.0001), but NOT for age (F = 0.50; p < 0.81) or sex (t = 1.56; p < 0.12)
 - Youth with cerebral palsy had significantly lower scores than those with amputation (p < 0.01)
 - Youth with autism had significantly lower scores than those with communication disorders/cleft lip or palate (p < 0.01) & those with amputation (p < 0.001)

Internal Structure (factor analyses): CASP Youth Report & Parent Report

Three conceptually similar factors identified for both CASP versions contributing 44% variance in youth report & 65% in parent report:

- 1. Social, leisure & communication (17% & 22% respectively)
- 2. Advanced daily activities (14% & 17% respectively)
- 3. Basic daily activities & mobility (13% & 26% respectively)
- *Some items shared variance on more than one factor in both versions

Internal Consistency: CASP Scale & Factor Subscales

CASP Scale & Factor Subscales	Youth	Parent
• CASP	0.87 *	0.95 *
 Social, leisure & communication 	0.80†	0.90 *
 Advanced daily activities 	0.67‡	0.86 *
 Basic daily activities & mobility 	0.74‡	0.89 *

Subscale scores derived from factor analyses

Internal consistency (Cronbach's α): high*, moderately high †, moderate ‡

Comparative Analyses: CASP Total & Factor Subscale Scores

CASP Total & Subscale Scores	Youth Mean (SD)	Parent Mean (SD)	t *	ICC**
• CASP Total	69.5 (8.2)	63.5 (12.8)	10.93	0.70
 Social, leisure & communication 	27.6 (4.1)	25.9 (5.2)	7.26	0.65
 Advanced daily activities 	21.1 (2.8)	18.6 (4.5)	12.52	0.59
 Basic daily activities & mobility 	20.7 (3.0)	19.2 (4.3)	9.00	0.74

^{*} Independent t-tests show significant differences between all youth-report & parent-report CASP scores (p < 0.0001)

Discussion

- Evidence of internal consistency & scale structure for CASP Youth-report & confirmatory evidence for Parent-report.
- Moderate internal consistency for youthreport advanced & basic daily activities subscales possibly due to shared variance of some items on these two subscales.
- The 3-factor scale solution for Youth-report was virtually the same as parent-report in this & prior study.⁴
- Results from this study reflect more equal distribution of percent of variance explained among the 3 factors than in prior research.
- Scores from both versions were moderately to highly correlated & also significantly different suggesting each provides understanding of youth's participation.¹¹
- Youth report could be used with parent report when comparisons are helpful, or separately when only youth reports are of interest.
- Consistent with other results that show youth with chronic conditions report higher scores than parents in measures that reflect their health-related quality of life.¹²⁻¹⁴
- Youth might have reported higher CASP scores due to youth: a) having more accurate views of what they do across multiple environments; b) participating more than their parents realize; &/or c) idealizing the extent to which they participate.
- Youth report CASP appears to discriminate among groups of youth with different conditions, similar to prior research with the Parent –report CASP.⁴
- Further psychometric testing needed (larger, more diverse samples; test-retest reliability; confirmation of 3 factor scale solution; responsiveness).

References

- 1. Larson, R.W. & Verma S. (1999). How children and adolescents spend time across the world: Work, play, and developmental opportunities. *Psychological Bulletin, 25,* 701-36.
- 2. Mahoney, J.L., Cairns, B.D. & Farmer, T.W. (2003). Promoting interpersonal competence and educational success through extracurricular activity participation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95, 409-418.
- 3. King ,G., Law, M., King, S., Rosenbaum, P., Kertoy, M.K. & Young, N.L.(2003). A conceptual model of the factors affecting the recreation and leisure participation of children with disabilities. *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics*, 23, 63-90.
- 4. Bedell, G. (2009) Further validation of the Child and Adolescent Scale of Participation (CASP). *Developmental Neurorehabilitation*, *12*, 342-351.
- Bedell, G. (2004) Developing a follow-up survey focused on participation of children and youth with acquired brain injuries after discharge from inpatient rehabilitation. *NeuroRehabilitation*, 19, 191-205.
- 6. Bedell, G., & Coster, W. (2008) Measuring participation of school-aged children with acquired brain injuries: Considerations and approaches. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation, 23, 220-229.
- 7. Adolfsson, M., Malmqvist, J., Pless, M., & Granlund, M. (2011) Identifying child function from an ICF-CY perspective: Everyday life situations explored in measures of participation. *Disability and Rehabilitation, 33,* 1230-1244.
- McConachie, H., Colver, A., Forsyth, R., Jarvis, K., & Parkinson, N. Participation of disabled children: How should it be characterized and measured? *Disability and Rehabilitation, 18,* 1157-1164.
 MacDougall, J., Bedell, G., & Wright, V. (In Press). The youth report
- version of the Child and Adolescent Scale of Participation (CASP):
 Assessment of psychometric properties and comparison with parent report. Child: Care, Health and Development.
- McDougall, J., Wright, V., Schmidt, J., Miller, L., & Lowry, K. (2011)
 Applying the ICF framework to study changes in quality of life for youth with chronic conditions. *Developmental Neurorehabilitation*, 14, 41-53.
 Parsons S. Barlow S. Levy S. Supran, S. & Kaplan, S. (1999) Health
- 11. Parsons, S., Barlow, S., Levy, S., Supran, S., & Kaplan, S. (1999) Health-related quality of life in paediatric bone marrow transplant survivors:

 According to whom? *International Journal of Cancer Supplement, 12,* 46-
- 12. Morrow, A., Hayen, A., Quine, S., Scheinberg, A., & Craig, J. (2012) A comparison of doctors', parents', and children's reports of health states and health-related quality of life in children with chronic conditions. *Child: Care, Health, and Development, 38,* 86-195.
- 13. Upton, P., Lawford, J., & Eiser, C. (2008) Parent-child agreement across child health-related quality of life insruments: A review of the literature. *Quality of Life Research, 17,* 895-913.
- 14. White-Koning, M., Arnaud, C., Dickinson, H., ... & Colver, A. (2007) Determinants of child-parent agreement in quality of life reports: A European study of children with cerebral palsy, *Pediatrics*, 120, e804-e814.

Funding from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Grant #191232) to Drs. Janette McDougall (Janette.McDougall@tvcc.on.ca) & Virginia Wright (vwright@bloorview.ca)

For more information about the CASP: http://sites.tufts.edu/garybedell/measurement-tools/

^{**} Intra-class Correlation Coefficients (moderately high correlations between all youth-report & parent-report CASP scores)