

CHAPTER 3 SELECTED SOLUTIONS Math 145, Abstract Algebra, Duchin

**3.1.2** For each binary operation  $*$ , does the set with  $*$  define a group?

(a),(c),(e) are in the back of the book, so I'll just do the others. Note: I will often use the letter  $e$  for the identity element.

(b)  $[a * b = \max\{a, b\}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ] This is not a group because there is no identity. There's no integer  $e$  such that  $\max\{a, e\} = a$  for all  $a$ . To see this, suppose there were such an integer  $e$ , and let  $a = e - 1$ . Then

$$a * e = \max\{a, e\} = \max\{e - 1, e\} = e \neq a.$$

(d)  $[a * b = |ab|$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ] Again, there is clearly no identity, which would be an integer  $e$  such that  $|ae| = a$  for all  $a$ . To see this, note that  $|ae|$  is always nonnegative, so can't equal  $a$  if  $a < 0$ .

(f)  $[a * b = ab$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ ] So close and yet so far! This can't be a group because 0 has no inverse (there's nothing to multiply by 0 to get back to 1, which is clearly the multiplicative identity). However, this is the only obstruction: if you removed 0, then it would form a group.

**3.1.9** Let  $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0, x \neq 1\}$ . Define  $a * b = a^{\ln b}$ . Prove it's an abelian group.

We need to show that this is a binary operation, so we check that the output is real: yes. Next, we need associativity, identity, and inverses.

Associativity:  $a * (b * c) = a^{\ln(b * c)} = a^{\ln(b^{\ln c})} = a^{\ln c \cdot \ln b}$ . On the other hand,  $(a * b) * c = (a^{\ln b}) * c = (a^{\ln b})^{\ln c} = a^{\ln b \cdot \ln c}$  by laws of exponents. These are equal.

Identity: this is kind of convenient, because we often write  $e$  for the identity, and here the identity is the actual number  $e = 2.71828\dots$ . Check:  $e * a = e^{\ln a} = a$  and  $a * e = a^{\ln e} = a^1 = a$  by laws of exponents.

Inverses: given  $a$ , we need to solve  $a^{\ln b} = e$  for  $b$ . Taking  $\ln$  of both sides, I get  $\ln b \cdot \ln a = 1$ , so  $\ln b = 1/\ln a$ , so  $b = e^{1/\ln a}$ . (And we note that this  $b$  is a positive real not equal to 1.) So we've found a  $b$  for which  $a * b = e$ . Now let's check that for this same value,  $b * a = e$ . We have:  $b * a = (e^{1/\ln a})^{\ln a} = e^1 = e$  ✓

Abelian: why does  $a^{\ln b} = b^{\ln a}$ ? Because if you take the  $\ln$  of either side, you get  $\ln a \cdot \ln b$ . If two numbers have the same result when you take their natural log, they are equal ( $\ln$  is injective).

**3.1.11** Show that the set of all  $2 \times 2$  real matrices of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} m & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $m \neq 0$  forms a group under matrix multiplication.

Well, since these matrices have determinant  $m$  which is not 0, the set of all of them is a subset of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , so we get associativity for free since we know that  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is a group.

Closure:  $\begin{bmatrix} m & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} mn & mc+b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  ✓ (note:  $mn \neq 0$  since  $m, n \neq 0$ .)

Identity:  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is the multiplicative identity for  $2 \times 2$  matrices, and it's in there. ✓

Inverses:  $\begin{bmatrix} m & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1/m & -b/m \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , this is of the right form (note:  $1/m \neq 0$ ), and we already know that inverses are unique in  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . ✓

**3.1.12** In the group from the last exercise, find all elements that commute with  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Well,  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} m & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2m & 2b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  while  $\begin{bmatrix} m & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2m & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , so these are equal iff  $b = 2b$ , i.e.,  $b = 0$ . Thus the set of such matrices is those of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**3.1.13** Let  $S = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$ . Define  $a * b = a + b + ab$ . Show that  $(S, *)$  is a group.

To see that it's a binary operation, we just have to check that  $a + b + ab \neq -1$  whenever  $a, b \neq -1$ . We have

$$a + b + ab = -1 \iff a(1 + b) = -1 - b = -1(1 + b) \iff (1 + a)(1 + b) = 0.$$

The only solutions to this are  $a = -1$  or  $b = -1$ .

Associativity: On one hand,  $a * (b * c) = a * (b + c + bc) = a + b + c + bc + ab + ac + abc$ .

On the other hand,  $(a * b) * c = (a + b + ab) * c = a + b + ab + c + ac + bc + abc$ .

These are equal.

Identity:  $e = 0$ . We have  $a * e = a + 0 + 0 = a$ ;  $e * a = 0 + a + 0 = a$ .

Inverses: Given  $a$ , we must solve  $a + b + ab = 0$  for  $b$ . We have

$$b(1 + a) = -a \implies b = \frac{-a}{1 + a}.$$

(Note: for this to equal  $-1$ , we would have to have  $a/(1 + a) = 1$ , or  $a = 1 + a$ , which is impossible.) We have found a solution for  $a * b = 0$ , and it only remains to check  $b * a = 0$  for this  $b$ . But the operation is clearly commutative because addition and multiplication of reals are commutative, so we are done.

**3.1.16** Show that a nonabelian group must have at least five distinct elements.

First let us prove a simple lemma.

**Lemma:** *If  $a$  and  $b$  are not the identity, then their product  $ab$  can't equal  $a$  or  $b$ .*

Proof: If  $ab = a$ , then cancellation gives  $b = e$ . Likewise  $ab = b \implies a = e$ .  $\square$

This makes things pretty easy. There's nothing to check for a one-element group.

For a two element group  $\{e, a\}$ , there's also nothing to check, because  $ea = ae$  by definition of identity anyway.

How about a three-element group  $\{e, a, b\}$ ? Well, here we must have  $ab = e$  by the lemma, and for the same reason  $ba = e$ , so the group is abelian.

Finally, we consider a four-element group  $\{e, a, b, c\}$ . We know that  $e$  commutes with everything, and of course everything commutes with itself, so we only need to show that  $gh = hg$  for all choices of  $g, h$  as distinct nonidentity letters. Without loss of generality, it suffices to show  $ab = ba$ . But there are only two possibilities,  $ab = e$  or  $ab = c$ . Suppose  $ab = e$ . Then  $b = a^{-1}$ , so  $ba = e$ , and they commute. The last case is  $ab = c$ . But then we also have  $ba = e$  or  $c$ . If  $ba = e$ , then they are inverses, contradicting  $ab = c$ . So  $ba = c = ab$ , and we've shown that they commute.

**3.1.17** Let  $G$  be a group. For  $a, b \in G$ , prove that  $(ab)^n = a^n b^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  iff  $ab = ba$ .

Backward direction: suppose  $ab = ba$ . Then for  $n > 0$ , we can take any expression  $(ab)^n = (ab)(ab) \cdots (ab)$  and swap the  $a$  letters to the left past each of the  $b$  letters, obtaining  $a^n b^n$ . For  $n = 0$  there is nothing to prove, since  $e = e$ . For  $n < 0$ , we must show that  $(ab)^{-m} = a^{-m} b^{-m}$  where  $m = -n > 0$ . But the meaning of raising something to the  $-m$  power is raising its inverse to the  $m$  power, so the left-hand side becomes  $(b^{-1} a^{-1})^m$  and the right-hand side becomes  $(a^{-1})^m (b^{-1})^m$ . We can now just swap them past each other once we check that they commute. But we know that  $ab = ba$ . Taking the inverse of both sides gives us  $b^{-1} a^{-1} = a^{-1} b^{-1}$ , so the inverses commute and we are done.

Forward direction: if the identity is true for all  $n$ , then in particular it's true for  $n = 2$ . So we can assume that  $(ab)^2 = a^2 b^2$ , or in other words  $abab = aabb$ . Canceling an  $a$  on the left and a  $b$  on the right, we get  $ba = ab$ , as desired.

**3.2.5** Find all cyclic subgroups of... (b)  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ ; (d)  $S_4$

For  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ : We have  $\langle 0 \rangle = \{0\}$ . For anything relatively prime to 8, it generates the full group, so we have  $\langle 1 \rangle = \langle 3 \rangle = \langle 5 \rangle = \langle 7 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_8$ . We know that  $a$  generates the same subgroup as  $a^{-1}$  in general, so we have  $\langle 2 \rangle = \langle 6 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$ . And finally  $\langle 4 \rangle = \{0, 4\}$ . We've found four distinct cyclic subgroups in all.

For  $S_4$ : there are  $4! = 24$  elements. There is 1 identity, there are 6 transpositions, there are 8 3-cycles, 6 4-cycles, and three remaining elements like  $(12)(34)$  that are products of two disjoint transpositions. Of course we have the trivial subgroup  $\langle e \rangle = \{e\}$ . And clearly each transposition, such as  $(12)$ , generates its own two-element cyclic subgroup, such as  $\langle (12) \rangle = \{e, (12)\}$ . So there are six of these. Now the ones generated by 3-cycles can be generated two ways, such as  $\langle (123) \rangle = \langle (132) \rangle = \{e, (123), (132)\}$ , so there are four of these, since there are 8 3-cycles. The four-cycles also double up:  $\langle (1234) \rangle = \langle (1432) \rangle = \{e, (1234), (13)(24), (1432)\}$ . Note that, importantly, even though  $(13)(24)$  appears in this subgroup, it does not generate it! So there are 3 distinct cyclic subgroups generated by 4-cycles. Finally, the double transpositions like  $(13)(24)$  have order two, so they generate subgroups of the form  $\langle (13)(24) \rangle = \{e, (13)(24)\}$ , and there are three of them. So in all, we have classified the cyclic subgroups into  $1 + 6 + 4 + 3 + 3 = 17$  different ones, out of a possible 24.

**3.2.9** Show that  $H := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is a subgroup of  $GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ .

In fact, this  $H$  is a famous group called the **Heisenberg group**. As we learned in Prop 3.2.2, we need only check for closure, identity, and inverses to check  $H$  is a subgroup. Clearly the identity is in  $H$  (letting  $a = b = c = 0$ ). Closure:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+x & b+y+az \\ 0 & 1 & c+z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

Inverses:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & ac-b \\ 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

(We already know that in  $GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ , a right-hand inverse is also a left-hand inverse.)

**3.2.11** For fixed  $a \in S$ , show  $\{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S) : \sigma(a) = a\}$  is a subgroup.

Again, we check closure, identity, and inverses for the collection of permutations of  $S$  that fix our element  $a$ .

If  $\sigma, \tau$  both fix  $a$ , then  $\sigma\tau(a) = \sigma(a) = a$ , so  $\sigma\tau$  fixes  $a$  as well, which shows closure. The identity map fixes every element, so in particular it fixes  $a$ .

Finally, if  $\sigma$  fixes  $a$ , consider  $\sigma^{-1}$ . This must exist because  $\text{Sym}(S)$  is a group. But  $\sigma(a) = a \implies \sigma^{-1}(a) = a$ , so the inverse fixes  $a$  as well.

Note: a permutation of  $S$  fixing  $a$  is in obvious correspondence with a permutation of  $S \setminus \{a\}$ : to specify such a map, you only need to know what it does to all the other elements! So since  $\text{Sym}(S \setminus \{a\})$  is a group, this is another way to approach this question.

**3.2.14** If  $G$  is abelian, show that the set of finite-order elements forms a subgroup.

Let  $F = \{a \in G : o(a) < \infty\}$  be the set of finite-order elements. The identity has order 1, so it's in  $F$ . Any element has the same order as its inverse, so  $a \in F \implies o(a) < \infty \implies o(a^{-1}) < \infty \implies a^{-1} \in F$ .

Finally, let's check closure. Suppose  $a, b \in F$  and suppose  $o(a) = k$  and  $o(b) = m$ . Then  $(ab)^{km} = a^{km}b^{km}$  by commutativity, and this equals  $(a^k)^m(b^m)^k = e^m e^k = e$ . This means that  $o(ab) \leq km < \infty$ , so  $ab \in F$ . And we're done!

**3.2.18** Let  $G = (\mathbb{Q}, +)$  and suppose  $H, K$  are subgroups of  $G$ . Prove that if  $H, K \neq \{0\}$ , then  $H \cap K \neq \{0\}$ .

Well, by hypothesis, each of  $H$  and  $K$  contains some nonzero rational number. And since  $H, K$  contain inverses, if they contain any number they contain its negative as well, so each contains some positive rational number. So we can consider some  $a/b \in H$  and  $p/q \in K$ , where  $a, b, p, q$  are positive integers, without loss of generality. By closure under addition, the sum of  $a/b$  with itself any number of times is also in  $H$ , so add it to itself  $b$  times, concluding that  $a \in H$ . Likewise  $p \in K$ . But then add  $a$  to itself  $p$  times to find that  $pa \in H$ , and add  $p$  to itself  $a$  times to find that  $pa \in K$ . So both groups contain the nonzero integer  $pa$ .  $\square$

**3.2.20** Compute the centralizer in  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  of the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Recall that the *centralizer* of  $g$  is the set of all elements commuting with  $g$ . Let's take a matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ ; we'll suppose this commutes with  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and see what this tells us about  $A$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & a+b \\ c & c+d \end{bmatrix} ; \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+c & b+d \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} .$$

Setting upper left corners equal, we have  $a = a + c$ , so  $c = 0$ . This also makes the lower right corners equal. From the upper right, we get  $a + b = b + d$ , which means  $a = d$ . So the centralizer is all matrices of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ ; that is, diagonal matrices.

**3.2.23** Let  $G$  be a cyclic group, and let  $a, b$  be elements s.t. neither  $a = x^2$  nor  $b = x^2$  has a solution in  $G$ . Show that  $ab = x^2$  does have a solution in  $G$ .

We know that  $G$  is cyclic, so let's suppose  $G = \langle g \rangle$ . Then  $a$  and  $b$  are powers of  $g$ , so say  $a = g^\alpha$  and  $b = g^\beta$ . We know that the exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are odd, because for instance if  $a = g^{2k}$ , then  $x = g^k$  would be a solution to  $x^2 = a$ . But then  $ab = g^{\alpha+\beta}$  has an even exponent, which means that  $x = g^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}$  is a solution to  $x^2 = ab$ .

**3.2.26** For  $a, b \in G$ , assume that  $o(a)$  and  $o(b)$  are relatively prime and that  $ab = ba$ . Show that  $o(ab) = o(a)o(b)$ .

Let  $k = o(a)$  and  $m = o(b)$ . We saw above that  $o(ab) \leq o(a)o(b)$ , just because the commutativity ensures that  $(ab)^{km} = e$ . What remains to show is that  $o(ab) \geq o(a)o(b)$ ; that is, if  $(ab)^\ell = e$ , we must show that  $\ell \geq km$ .

So, begin with  $(ab)^\ell = e$  for some positive  $\ell$ , which means that  $a^\ell b^\ell = e$  by commutativity. Thus  $a^\ell = b^{-\ell}$ . Since these things are equal, they of course have the same order, and since the order of an element is equal to the order of its inverse, it follows that  $o(a^\ell) = o(b^\ell)$ .

However, recall that for any  $g$ , we have that  $o(g) = |\langle g \rangle|$  and that  $\langle g \rangle = \{g^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Clearly the powers of  $a^\ell$  are a subset of the powers of  $a$ , so  $\langle a^\ell \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $\langle a \rangle$ , and by Lagrange's theorem (the order of a subgroup divides the order of the group), this means that  $o(a^\ell)$  divides  $o(a)$  and likewise  $o(b^\ell)$  divides  $o(b)$ . But  $o(a^\ell) = o(b^\ell)$ , so if the same integer divides both  $k$  and  $m$ , which are relatively prime, we conclude that  $o(a^\ell) = o(b^\ell) = 1$ . That means  $a^\ell = b^\ell = e$ . But then  $\ell$  is a multiple of  $k$  and a multiple of  $m$ , and since they are relatively prime, it is thus a multiple of  $km$ . We have successfully proved that  $\ell \geq km$ .

**3.3.4** Find the cyclic subgroup generated by  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ .

Let  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $M^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $M^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We note that  $M^3$  is  $-I$ , so we can see that  $M^6 = I$ .

That means that  $M^4 = -M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $M^5 = -M^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

So  $\langle M \rangle = \{I, M, M^2, M^3, M^4, M^5\}$ , where the matrix values are listed above.

**3.3.6** Construct an abelian group of order 12 that is not cyclic.

The easiest answer is  $G = \mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  have order 1, 2, 3, 6 and elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  have order 1, 2. By Proposition 3.3.4, the order of an element of  $G$  is the lcm of the orders of the individual elements of the factor groups, so the largest possible order in  $G$  is 6. Since  $|G| = 12$  but it has no element of order 12, it is not cyclic.

**3.3.9** Consider subsets of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $C_1$  be the “diagonal subset” consisting of pairs  $(a, a)$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $C_n$  be the subset consisting of pairs  $(a, b)$  for which  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ . Show that each of these is a subgroup, and show that any PROPER subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  which contains  $C_1$  has the form  $C_n$  for some integer  $n$ .

One way to say what it means to be in  $C_n$  is that the two coordinates must differ by a multiple of  $n$ . Now suppose  $H$  is some subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  containing  $C_1$ . Then let  $n$  be the smallest difference between  $a$  and  $b$  for any  $(a, b) \in H$ . I claim that if  $n \geq 2$ , then  $H = C_n$  for this value  $n$ ; if  $n = 1$ , then  $H = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  itself; and finally it is clear that if there is never any difference between the coordinates, then  $H = C_1$ . Consider the case  $n = 1$ . Then there is some  $(a, a + 1) \in H$ , so by closure we have  $(a, a + 1) - (a, a) = (0, 1) \in H$ , and thus all its powers, which means  $(0, m) \in H$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But then for any  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $(a, b) = (a, a) + (0, b - a) \in H$ , showing that  $H = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now suppose  $n > 1$ . Then there is some  $(a, a + n) \in H$ , which means  $(0, n) \in H$ , but we don't have  $(0, 1), \dots, (0, n - 1)$  or  $n$  would not be the smallest difference of coordinates. Since  $(0, n) \in H$ , we have  $(0, kn) \in H$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and therefore  $(a, a + kn) \in H$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is all of  $C_n$ , so we've shown that  $C_n \subseteq H$ . We're trying to show  $C_n = H$ , so suppose not; then there is some  $(a, b)$  in  $H$  which is not in  $C_n$ . Thus  $n \nmid b - a$ , and so  $b - a = kn + r$  for some remainder  $0 < r < n - 1$ . But we have

$$(a, b) - (a, a) - (0, kn) = (a, a + kn + r) - (a, a) - (0, kn) = (0, r) \in H,$$

and this contradicts the minimality of  $n$ . This shows that  $H = C_n$ , as needed.

**3.3.10** Consider the subset  $X$  of  $S_n \times S_n$  consisting of pairs  $(\sigma, \tau)$  for which  $\sigma(1) = \tau(1)$ . Show  $X$  is not a subgroup.

In fact, this subset neither has inverses nor is closed under multiplication. Let's see that with an example. Consider  $\sigma = (123)$  and  $\tau = (124)$ , both elements of  $S_4$ . Let  $g = (\sigma, \tau)$ . This is an element of  $X$  because  $\sigma(1) = \tau(1) = 2$ . However,  $g^2 = (\sigma^2, \tau^2) = ((132), (142))$ , and this is not in  $X$  because 1 is mapped to 3 by the first coordinate permutation and to 4 by the second. (In fact, in this example,  $g^{-1} = g^2$ , so this shows the failure of inverses and closure at the same time.)

**EC: 3.2.2** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that  $A$  has infinite order by proving that  $A^n = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} & -F_n \\ -F_n & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ .

This problem isn't hard but it uses proof by induction; that's why it's extra credit! Recall that the Fibonacci sequence starts out  $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, F_2 = 1, F_3 = 2$ , and continues by the recursive rule  $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$ .

Base case ( $n = 1$ ):  $A^1 = \begin{bmatrix} F_2 & -F_1 \\ -F_1 & F_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  ✓

Inductive hypothesis: Assume  $A^{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} F_n & -F_{n-1} \\ -F_{n-1} & F_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Inductive step: Consider  $A^n$ . It is equal to

$$A \cdot A^{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} F_n & -F_{n-1} \\ -F_{n-1} & F_{n-2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_n + F_{n-1} & -(F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}) \\ -F_n & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} & -F_n \\ -F_n & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

Since the  $F_n$  are increasing, no power of  $A$  can ever equal  $I$ .