

The Role of Pet Ownership in Mental Health of Children with Diabetes and Impact on Parental Stress Noa R. Mills, OT/s, Erin K. King, MS, & Megan K. Mueller, PhD

Introduction

- Substantial increase in # of children diagnosed with diabetes worldwide ⁽⁵⁾
 - Higher risk for developing negative health outcomes
 - Complex & demanding treatment regimens
- Youth with diabetes have a 2–3-fold increased risk for mental health disorders including anxiety & depression ^(7, 8)
- Parents, specifically mothers, consistently report stress resulting from daily management of their child's diabetes ⁽³⁾
- Pets encourage positive interactions that favor closeness, sharing, & empathy resulting in more prosocial relational models (1, 4, 6)



 $(\mathbf{\tilde{\bullet}},\mathbf{\tilde{\bullet}})$

• Pet ownership decreases general anxiety, presents better emotional well-being, reduces feelings of loneliness, & depressive symptoms ^(1, 2, 6)

Research Question: Do pet owners & non-pet owners differ in mental health outcomes for children with diabetes specifically in:

(1) emotional regulation (2) anxiety/depression (3) parental stress

(4) A1c (blood glucose) (5) percentage of adults with diabetes in community



Data Analysis

ERQ (<i>n</i> = 79) & PSS-10 (<i>n</i> = 77)	 Continuous outcome measures with norm Independent samples t-test (<i>p</i> of <.05 sign Includes two outcome measures for ERQ: Cognitive reappraisal & Expressive support
$\begin{array}{l} \text{CBCL-A/D} \\ (n = 60) \end{array}$	 Not normally distributed with bimodal dist Re-coded CBCL-A/D score into dichotomou "low" or "high" for anxiety/depression Chi-square analysis (χ²)
A1c $(n = 10)$ & Residential history of diabetes (n = 9.802)	 Continuous outcome measures with normal Independent sample t-test (<i>p</i> of <.05 indicates the states of the states the

Results

Table 1. Diabetic Populati			
	Iotal Sample	No Pet	
Age (years) M (SD)	12.88 (0.65)	13.03 (0.59)	
Gender	n (%)	n (%)	
Female	43 (53.8%)	7 (30.4%)	
Male	37 (46.3%)	16 (69.6%)	
Race/Ethnicity			
White	46 (57.5%)	6 (26.1%)	
Black	32 (40%)	16 (69.6%)	
Hispanic	16 (20%)	4 (17.4%)	
Asian	2 (2.5%)	1 (4.3%)	
Indigenous	6 (7.5%)	1 (4.3%)	
Parent Education			
High School or less	25 (31.25%)	9 (39.1%)	
Any undergraduate	40 (50%)	10 (43.5%)	
Graduate degree	14 (17.5%)	3 (13.0%)	
Combined Family Income			
<u><</u> \$49,999	32 (40%)	11 (47.8%)	
\$50,000-99,999	24 (30%)	7 (30.4%)	
<u>></u> \$100,000	18 (22.5%)	4 (17.4%)	
Parent's Martial Status			
Married	42 (52.5%)	9 (39.1%)	
Divorced	10 (12.5%)	2 (8.7%)	
Separated	5 (6.3%)	2 (8.7%)	
Never Married	18 (22.5%)	7 (30.4%)	
Living with Partner	3 (3.8%)	1 (4.3%)	



Table 2. Pet ownership status predicting perceived parental stress, child emotional regulation & child anxiety/depression

	Non-pet owner	Pet owner	t	df	Cohen's d	p	
	M (SD)	M (SD)					
PSS-10	15.59 (6.38)	14.54 (6.71)	-0.63	75	0.16	0.53	
	<i>n</i> = 22	<i>n</i> = 55					
ERQ: Cognitive	10.82 (1.84)	10.02 (2.30)	-1.46	77	0.37	0.15	
Reappraisal Score	<i>n</i> = 22	<i>n</i> = 57					
ERQ: Expressive	10.14 (2.88)	9.65 (2.42)	-0.76	77	0.19	0.50	
Suppression Score	<i>n</i> = 22	<i>n</i> = 57					
CBCL-A/D	Non-pet owner % (n)	Pet owner % (n)	df		Chi-squ analysis	Chi-square analysis (χ2)	
Low Anxiety	70.59% (n = 12)	76.74% (n = 33)	1		0.62		
High Anxiety	29 41% (n = 5)	23.26% (n = 10)					

 Table 3. Exploratory Analysis: A1c & Residential History

Δ1c	• Average A1c levels for pet owners ($M = 5.27$) the non-net owners ($M = 5.68$: $SD = 0.73$)
AIC	$\circ t(8) = -1.01, p = 0.29, Cohen's d = -0.73$
Residential	• There was a significant difference between
History Derived of	 The average population density for diabetes = 9.46; SD = 3.30) compared to non-pet owned
Diagnosed Diabetes	 Pet owners live in communities with lower pet owners t(3190.22) = -12.51, p < 0.001, Communities

Conclusion

- ✓ No significant difference in anxiety/depression, emotional regulation, parental stress, or A1c between pet owners & non pet owners in children with diabetes
- Although no significant differences between groups, there was a medium effect size for cognitive reappraisal emotional regulation & A1c between pet owner vs. non pet owners in children with diabetes
- ✓ Non-pet owners had higher scores on adaptive emotional regulation
- ✓ Pet owners had a lower A1c value (more control)
- ✓ Significant difference in residential prevalence of diagnosed diabetes in adults
 - ✓ Findings indicated that lower diabetic based populations areas correlate with higher odds of being a pet-owner
 - ✓ May be confounded with other demographic variables

OT & other professions working with children with diabetes to improve social & emotional development need to identify how pet ownership can be employed to positively impact diabetic children's eating habits, activity level, problem solving, & healthy coping to reduce risks & decrease A1c, anxiety/depression, & parent's stress levels.

For references and more information:

Contact: noa.mills@tufts.edu

al distribution ficance)

pression

tribution

us variable

al distribution

ating significance)

= 4)

et owner: *n* = 2,298)/

rship Status

Pet Owner <i>n</i> = 57
12.83 (0.66)
n (%)
36 (63.2%)
21 (36.8%)
40 (70.2%)
16 (28.1%)
12 (21.1%)
1 (1.8%)
5 (8.8%)
16 (28.0%)
30 (52.6%)
11 (19.3%)
21 (36.8%)
17 (29.8%)
14 (24.5%)
33 (57.9%)
8 (14.0%)
3 (5.3%)
11 (19.3%)
2 (3.5%)

Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine

; SD = 0.43) was lower than

pet owners & non-pet owners for pet owners was lower (M ners (M =10.67; SD = 4.25) rates of diabetes than nonohen's *d* = 0.34

