
Key Spatial Questions

1) Which areas did humanitarian agencies target for assistance?
2) Is the presence of humanitarian agencies in a city/municipality correlated with the amount of crisis-related tweets recorded by humanitarian agencies?
3) Are there populations which were underserved and lack access to information and communication technology (ICT)?

Methodology

In order to determine whether humanitarian agencies targeted the most vulnerable populations within the areas affected by Typhoon Haiyan, I conducted six major stages of analysis. First, I created an index based on 2010 Philippines census data, which ranked all cities/municipalities according to levels of access to ICT. This index included 10 key variables: population density; literacy rates; illiteracy rates; asset ownership (landline phone; mobile phone; personal computer; and none); and Internet access (at home; outside of home; no access). Secondly, I created zones of coverage for those areas which sent crisis-related Tweets regarding the storm. Thirdly, I created zones of coverage for those areas in which NGO activities were recorded in response to Typhoon Haiyan. Fourth, I combined these factors into one map, which shows the coverage index for crisis-related Tweets and NGO activities. Fifth, I combined the coverage index with the interim ICT accessibility index, in order to determine areas with low ICT accessibility which allowed victims to recognize the inherent limitations of both strategies. As this project demonstrates, there is selection bias based on criteria such as access to ICT devices, Internet access and literacy rates. Age, gender and income are also key determinants of vulnerability. Therefore, the humanitarian community must realize that crisis-mapping—and technology at large—are not a panacea; crowdsourced data must be supplemented by other forms of data in order to improve targeting and better deliver assistance to those who need it the most.

Limitations

I experienced several limitations during the data gathering and data analysis phases of the project. I was unable to access income data at the city/municipality level of analysis. I was also unable to disaggregate age census data at the city/municipality level. Therefore, the ICT Accessibility Index does not include age or income data, which would be key to such analysis.

Results & Recommendations

While this analysis is not sufficient to isolate causal relationships, it identifies clear patterns in ICT access and NGO assistance. As predicted, the ICT Accessibility-Coverage Analysis shows that areas with more access to ICTs sent more Tweets. These areas were also more likely to have NGO coverage. However, based on the results of the ICT Accessibility-Coverage Index for Affected Areas, there were several affected areas which lacked ICT access, sent fewer or no crisis-related Tweets, and were also not targeted by NGOs for humanitarian assistance. Therefore, while aid organizations were able to target their aid activities to many affected areas in need, it is clear that there were many affected areas lacking ICT access and which were underserved by aid organizations.
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