**BACKGROUND**

India’s economic growth, as well as its energy usage, are both projected to be on the rise. According to BP’s projection for 2035, India will be responsible for 9% of world energy consumption while its share in global production will be 5%. In 2015, India’s natural gas production was about 30 bcm while consumption stood at 50 bcm. Imports in the form of liquefied natural gas (LNG) were around 21 bcm in 2015. Gas imports are projected to quadruple by 2040, reaching 100 bcm.

This growing energy deficit explains the Indian government’s interest in TAPI (Turkmenistan-Afghanistan-Pakistan-India) pipeline. In India, the internal network of gas pipelines is not interconnected because of the country federalization. Even if the regasification plants projects succeed, massive investments are needed to serve the Punjab region of India. This region will be directly served by the TAPI in Fazilka. The start and end points are highlighted in the map using a green dot.

Source: Galkynysh gas fields, Turkmenistan
Destination: Fazilka, Punjab, India

**METHODOLOGY**

The following steps have to be taken to generate a cost raster for TAPI:
1. Rasterization of vector layers
2. Reclassification
3. Equal weighting of routing criteria
4. Generation Suitability Map

The most important part of this method is the cost surface. The cost surface has been generated by combining all the thematic costs of laying the pipeline on a given terrain by a system of equal weighting.

This is consistent with the basic problem as the pipeline routing is a compromise between the minimum (straight line) distance from source to destination and the physical conditions existing above and below ground.

Cost Raster Level 1 = Population Density + Rainfall + Conflict Density + Elevation
Cost Raster Level 2 = Cost Raster Level 1 * Airport areas * Disputed Territories * Land Cover * Existing Pipelines. The multiplied rasters are all binary.

**ANALYSIS**

The purpose of suitability analysis is to find optimal locations for developing a natural gas pipeline route, TAPI. This analysis helps in finding a general location and further field work can be done later to determine the optimal conditions.

Overall, there are medium to high suitability areas in the region that can facilitate the development of the pipeline, at a lower cost structure. Areas with highest cost are concentrated in a few pockets. These areas don’t receive very high rainfall, which is a favorable factor in the construction of a pipeline. Conflict hotspots are concentrated near the border areas, which is to be expected.

Overall, the economics of the project are encouraging; however, this region faces a high level of uncertainty and security concerns. Therefore, the key to this project is to use it to stabilize the region. However, this is a high risk task and the level of investment for a trans-national pipeline like TAPI is about $10 billion USD.

**FURTHER RESEARCH**

Major limitations of this analysis are—the quality of free-to-use data available and the subjectivity of weights for suitability analysis. Therefore, this analysis can be taken further by weighting each criteria differently and using the Least Cost Path functionality in GIS to find an optimal pipeline route.
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