Malaria Risk in Guinea

AN ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT OF THE TOP PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN

INTRODUCTION

In Guinea, malaria is the leading public health concern where confirmed cases account for 30% of patient consultations (PMI 2018). Malaria is a parasite, carried and transmitted by mosquitoes, and the world’s most prevalent vector-borne disease. Of the three main species of *P. falciparum* is both the deadliest and the most widely distributed across Guinea.

The landscape of Guinea is incredibly diverse with a tropical western coast, a mountainous middle, scattered savannah to the deserted north, and the dense forest region reaching south to Síerra Leone, Liberia, and Cote d’Ivorie.

Approximately 70-90% of malaria risk is due to environmental factors (Smith et al. 1999). Guinea generally experiences six months of rain (May-October) which coincides with high rates of malaria. Past research has shown that these variables correlate to global trends of malaria transmission, but this analysis seeks to find whether the same patterns are found on a sub-national level where rates vary greatly across microclimates and population demographics.

For the purpose of this analysis, malaria risk is confined to environmental factors including temperature, precipitation, forest cover, distance to water, and parasite prevalence.

Previous research indicates that mosquitoes typically live in warm, wet areas close to sources of water (Abiodun et al. 2016). Average temperatures 18-32°C and precipitation accumulation over 80mm are known as suitable conditions for malaria transmission of the parasite. Across Guinea, the lowest mean annual temperature is above 22°C and the lowest mean annual precipitation is above 80mm, making it a country highly suitable for malaria (Crigg 1998).

Vegetation prevents standing water and the potential for mosquito breeding, indicating that forested areas have a lower risk of malaria transmission. Land use change often contributes to malaria transmission, as there is reduced vegetation surrounding dwellings and agriculture fields in addition to higher temperatures in instances of deforestation (Chaves et al. 2018). Finally, unmanaged fresh water sources increase potential for mosquito breeding grounds.

The purpose of this analysis is to identify areas in Guinea of high risk to malaria, based upon environmental factors.

METHODOLOGY

All analysis took place within ArcMap 10.7.1. Data was collected for the five risk factors and projected onto the Africa Equal Albers Conic coordinate system. The five raster sets were cropped to the extent of the country boundaries and then reclassified into 10 natural break classifications (except for the tree cover which had four classes due to the composite bands and was weighted to match the other factors). The layers were subsequently combined using the raster calculator, resulting in 1km² cells with a potential 5-50 score (5 = low risk, 50 = high risk).

**Temperature + Precipitation + Tree Cover (2.5) + Distance to Water Source + Malaria Prevalence**

Zonal statistics was performed to calculate average scores per sub-prefecture (administrative level 3) polygon. The scores were spatially joined and classified into five equal intervals, resulting in the final displayed map.

The health facility distribution map was created by first joining the raster population dataset to the administrative polygons to determine population for each of the 340 sub-prefectures. Next, the health facility point data was spatially joined to the polygons. The polygons were classified through quantities. The map displays health facility density, normalized by population.

RESULTS

The goal of this analysis was to determine areas of high risk to malaria in order to shift prevention and treatment efforts to zones that require increased attention. The final risk map displays the top 20% at-risk sub-prefectures in dark brown. These are concentrated heavily on the coast. Within this 20% are 36 sub-prefectures primarily under the Boké and Kindia administrative jurisdictions. The map indicates that these areas are under favorable conditions for the transmission of *P. falciparum*.

The health facility distribution map shows the distribution of health facilities across Guinea, normalized by population. Areas of low density are in dark brown, relative to areas with health centers serving a smaller number of people. These areas have a low health center to person ratio, indicating gaps in the distribution of facilities and health care workers.

DISCUSSION

The information displayed can be informative to public health workers and policy makers wanting to understand how risk of malaria changes across the country and how incidence may be impacted by land use change and a less predictable climate. This simple analysis tool can be used to determine where malaria prevention resources should be targeted. These efforts include distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets, rapid diagnostic tests, and prenatal consultations (PMI 2018). A recommendation would be to focus these efforts on the most at-risk sub-prefectures.

Further examination may include a regression analysis of risk and incidence to determine whether this model works in estimating malaria rates. Further investigation may predict how malaria risk might change across Guinea with anticipated changes in temperature, rainfall, and land use. Substantial evidence predicts that the effects of climate change will greatly impact malaria risk (Lindsay and Birley 1996). With even slight increases in temperature, areas of little to no prevalence are predicted to become at risk. With increases in rainfall, high transmission rates are expected with shorter recovery times during the dry seasons. Additionally, increased deforestation and urbanization, microclimatic temperatures rise, along with more standing water and breeding grounds for malaria vectors. Paired with predicted increases in average global temperatures and variable precipitation, malaria prevalence and risk is expected to increase.

LIMITATIONS

The limitations of this analysis are substantial. Since there are various socio-economic, institutional, and cultural factors involved in addition to the displayed environmental risk. Similar analyses can be used to look at socio-economic determinants of malaria incidence. With improved social conditions such as quality housing and roads, along with changes in agricultural and urban land use, there are strategies for significant global reduction of malaria (Hayworth 1988). Additionally, some of the data sources were semi-substantiated or compiled averages that don’t reflect current trends (i.e. precipitation and temperature).

CONCLUSION

The information displayed can be informative to public health workers and policy makers wanting to understand how risk of malaria changes across the country and how incidence may be impacted by land use change and a less predictable climate. This simple analysis tool can be used to determine where malaria prevention resources should be targeted. Further examination may include a regression analysis of risk and incidence to determine whether this model works in estimating malaria rates. Further investigation may predict how malaria risk might change across Guinea with anticipated changes in temperature, rainfall, and land use. Substantial evidence predicts that the effects of climate change will greatly impact malaria risk (Lindsay and Birley 1996). With even slight increases in temperature, areas of little to no prevalence are predicted to be at risk. With increases in rainfall, high transmission rates are expected with shorter recovery times during the dry seasons. Additionally, increased deforestation and urbanization, microclimatic temperatures rise, along with more standing water and breeding grounds for malaria vectors. Paired with predicted increases in average global temperatures and variable precipitation, malaria prevalence and risk is expected to increase.

DATA

**Tree Cover**

The tree cover raster was converted from a sub-prefecture level 3 polygon. The scores were spatially joined and classified into five equal intervals, resulting in the final displayed map.

**Temperature**

The annual mean temperature raster layer was created using monthly average layers obtained from the 2017 WorldClim dataset, which represents a 50 year average (1950-2000). The Raster Calculator tool was used to calculate the average yearly temperature at a 10km² resolution. Using IDW through the Geostatistical Wizard, the layer was reclassified to the country border with a smaller resolution. The layer was classified through 7 to calculate the degree of temperature fluctuation in low risk, low temperature.

**Distance to Water**

The Euclidean Distance tool was used to create a raster dataset from vector line data of rivers in Guinea obtained from the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency’s Office of Geography. Distance was calculated at a resolution of 100m. The layer was reclassified from 1 through 100 (1 = low risk, far from a water source).

**Precipitation**

Measured by rainfall, the annual mean precipitation raster layer was created using monthly average layers obtained from the 2017 WorldClim dataset, which represents a 50 year average (1950-2000). The Raster Calculator tool was used to calculate the average yearly precipitation at a 10km² resolution. Using Inverse Distance weighting through the Geostatistical Wizard, the layer was reclassified to the country border with a smaller resolution. The layer was classified through 10 to calculate the degree of temperature fluctuation in low risk, low precipitation.

Parasite Prevalence

Malaria prevalence shows the distribution of *malaria* carrying the *P. falciparum* parasite. The data comes from the World Malaria Report at a 5km² resolution and was reclassified through 10 (1 = low risk, low prevalence).
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