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ABSTRACT—Effective programs for youth can reduce problem behaviors and promote positive development. In particular, cultural assets (e.g., ethnic–racial identity) are important for African American youth’s health and development. In this article, we argue that youth programs represent an important social context for African American youth’s development of positive ethnic–racial identity, and we present a conceptual framework for understanding how such programs may affect African American youth’s development in this area. Then, we provide examples of evidence-based programs that have assessed this developmental process among African American youth. We conclude with considerations for research.
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Since the turn of the 20th century, organizations that serve youth have been an important social context for positive development (1, 2). Consequently, researchers and policymakers have become increasingly interested in what constitutes quality and effectiveness in programming for youth. Given the variability in programs for youth (e.g., mission, approach, target population), we lack definitive answers. However, Eccles and Gootman’s (3) seminal review described several guiding characteristics (e.g., programs that provide a physically and psychologically safe atmosphere, programs that have a developmentally appropriate structure). Many effective programs adopt some form of philosophy about youth development, promoting the 5Cs (competence, confidence, connection, character, and caring; 4) or emphasizing developmental assets involving internal (e.g., personal identity) and external (e.g., family, youth programs) assets (5). Generally, when programs for youth work, we expect them to deter problem behavior and promote positive development.

Although the importance of racial and ethnic diversity is implied within several youth development perspectives, research on race and ethnicity with regard to youth programs is limited (6). Programs designed to change youth’s developmental trajectories should be tailored to the social experiences of participants (7). For African American youth, a growing body of literature underscores the importance of cultural assets for positive development (8). In this article, we focus on one cultural asset: ethnic–racial identity.

THE IMPORTANCE OF ETHNIC–RACIAL IDENTITY FOR AFRICAN AMERICAN YOUTH

Identity formation is widely recognized as a normative developmental process through which a young person understands his or her place in the social world. Youth who have achieved a positive sense of identity are more likely to behave prosocially and less likely to engage in risky behaviors (9). However, normative developmental transitions for African American youth
are embedded within several complex social systems (10). Negative race-based experiences (e.g., discrimination and bias) can impair their search for identity (11), whereas positive race-based experiences can promote a positive search for identity (12). Ethnic-racial identity protects against the negative effects of racial and ethnic discrimination, and is associated with health and positive development in African American youth (11, 13). Therefore, establishing a positive ethnic-racial identity is an important part of African American youth’s development.

We conceptualize ethnic-racial identity as a multidimensional psychological construct that represents the aspect of a person’s overall identity that is associated with race or ethnicity (14). It involves the aspects of one’s identity derived from ethnic-racial identifications, the complex process through which an individual explores and consolidates membership in ethnic-racial groups, feelings associated with membership in those groups, and society’s views about one’s ethnic or racial group (15). In addition, African Americans can be thought of in terms of both race and ethnicity (15). Therefore, we use the term ethnic-racial identity to capture this psychological construct with consideration for African American youth’s experiences in forming this aspect of their identity.

Early to middle adolescence is a critical phase for forming ethnic-racial identity (15, 16). Although infants can detect racial (e.g., skin color, facial features) and ethnic (e.g., language) differences (17), and children can identify ethnic-racial groups but do not necessarily think about how group membership affects their lives (15), adolescence is when individuals draw meaning from their ethnic-racial experiences (16). The probability of experiencing discrimination also increases in adolescence as African American youth spend more time outside the home in social settings such as schools and neighborhoods (13), and they contemplate how those experiences may affect their lives (18). For some youth, experiencing discrimination can initiate a search for and exploration of ethnic-racial identity (19). We propose that youth programs represent an important setting for African American teenagers to process these experiences and develop positive ethnic-racial identities.

**CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK**

Our framework is informed by several developmental theories (10, 20). We propose that youth programs promote African American youth’s development of ethnic-racial identity by adopting a *culture-specific philosophy* that informs *racial-ethnic socialization* practices (e.g., choice of culture-specific curriculum and activities) and opportunities for meaningful *interpersonal interactions* (see Figure 1). Elements of programs for youth are influenced by the *nature of interpersonal relationships* within the program and mediated by youth’s *intrapsychological processes*. This process is embedded within several *macrosystem influences* that affect both the program and African American youth.

Scholars have argued that broader social contexts (e.g., institutional racism, racial denigration, and marginalization) obstruct and conflict with racially marginalized youth’s search for a positive identity (10, 20). Indeed, macrosystem influences affect both African American youth and youth programs. For example, the staff of programs for youth may feel pressure to frame urban African American youth’s identity negatively to obtain funding (21). However, culturally informed theories on risk and resilience emphasize the role of cultural assets for African American youth’s healthy development (22). Youth programs might highlight philosophies (e.g., African American and Africentric worldviews) that position African American culture as an asset. Adopting such philosophies would inform programming that supports African American youth’s development of positive identity.

*Racial-ethnic socialization* is the process through which parents communicate with their children about race and ethnicity (23). This form of socialization is also a multidimensional concept that involves *process* and *content* (8). Process includes direct strategies (e.g., having conversations with youth) and indirect strategies (e.g., displaying cultural artifacts) through which ideas about race and ethnicity are transmitted. Content involves messages regarding maintaining heritage and understanding the history of one’s cultural origin (cultural socialization), strategies for coping with discrimination (preparation for bias), messages about egalitarianism (e.g., equality), guidance on succeeding in mainstream society (mainstream socialization), and less frequently, cautions about being wary of other racial groups (promotion of mistrust; 8, 23, 24). Racial-ethnic socialization is associated positively with African American youth’s ethnic-racial identity (12).

Racial-ethnic socialization is important for African American youth in programs (25). By offering culturally relevant curriculum and activities, youth programs are uniquely positioned to offer a place for African American youth to talk with adults and peers about social issues that affect their lives (e.g., African American history, racial profiling in their neighborhoods, the Black Lives Matter movement) in a youth-centered environment. Such activities likely initiate and support the development of ethnic-racial identity. We propose that racial-ethnic socialization within youth programs might mirror research on parenting in process and content. Programs are likely to transmit messages to African American youth about race and ethnicity (e.g., cultural heritage, interracial interactions, preparation for bias). In this scenario, we expect to see direct forms of socialization (e.g., conversations about ethnicity, field trips to cultural institutions) as well as indirect forms (e.g., displaying of cultural artifacts). However, youth programs differ from families in that they compete for funding (and raise funds) to support their work, select facilitators to communicate the messages,
vary in terms of program cycles (e.g., weeks to months), and experience change in participants and staff members, all of which may change the social dynamic of youth’s interactions in programs. When participants change—something that can occur frequently because of lack of interest, interest in attending other programs, staff turnover, and for other reasons—social dynamics between staff and youth and among youth are affected. Therefore, unique forms of socialization may emerge through further investigation.

Interpersonal interactions with adults and peers are integral components of learning in youth programs. In some programs, youth practice developing identities with the support of program staff through activities such as social advocacy (26). Furthermore, staff’s attitudes about race and ethnicity can affect the social, cultural, and emotional environment of youth programs for African American youth (21). In fact, staff members who are biased and reinforce stereotypes can do more harm than good to African American youth’s development of identity (27). Thus, we further propose that the impact of interpersonal interactions in youth programs is moderated by the nature of the relationships with individuals in the program. Ethnically and racially similar adults can play a positive role in African American youth’s formation of ethnic–racial identity (28).

Finally, the ability for youth programs to affect African American youth’s ethnic–racial identity is influenced by youth’s intrapsychological processes (8, 16). African American youth may accept (or reject) race-related messages communicated through a program for many reasons, including their own interests, the perceived importance or utility of the messages, individual coping abilities, or psychological engagement in the program.

EVIDENCE OF AFRICAN AMERICAN YOUTH’S ETHNIC–RACIAL IDENTITY IN YOUTH PROGRAMS

To assess whether and how programs for youth affect African American youth’s ethnic–racial identity, we applied our framework to the research, searching the literature using several engines (e.g., Google Scholar, PsycINFO) that extracted articles featuring relevant terms (e.g., racial identity, ethnic identity, youth development, and youth program). We limited our review to programs that included African American participants, using

Figure 1. Conceptual model depicting the association between youth programs and African American youth’s ethnic–racial identity.
terms such as Black and African American.\(^1\) We identified 13 youth programs that reported development of racial or ethnic identity as a central focus of the program’s philosophy or approach, and involved African American children or adolescents (see Table 1). In this article, we provide examples of how programs influenced African American youth’s development of ethnic–racial identity. (For detailed descriptions of the programs, refer to the articles cited in Table 1.)

The 13 programs focused on myriad outcomes, including intellectual and personal development, social and emotional learning, physical and sexual health, and preventing violence. All programs were designed around youth’s developmental readiness (e.g., participants were in middle school or high school). Eleven programs involved youth in Grades 5–8 (ages 10–14); two programs involved youth in high school. In 12 programs, all participants were African Americans, and one program included African American and Latino students. The curricula and activities considered youth’s ages and expected that their cognitive abilities would allow them to understand complex topics (e.g., structural racism, sense of self), and emphasized development of identity as a critical task in early to late adolescence. All programs had specific goals and were implemented with intentional program structure.

To our knowledge, 4 of the 13 programs (Aban Aya, Fathers and Sons, Understanding Violence, and Youth Empowerment Solutions for Peaceful Communities) have not been evaluated for their direct or indirect impact on African American youth’s ethnic–racial identity, so we did not include them. We focused our analysis on the nine programs that assessed African American youth’s racial or ethnic identity.\(^2\) (For additional information on these programs, see Table 2.)

Although it is unclear what impact these programs had on African American youth’s ethnic–racial identity, they used culturally responsive approaches to prevention, intervention, or youth development (e.g., they featured cultural awareness and designed curriculum to meet the needs of the intended community) and succeeded across several domains of development, including reducing risky behaviors (29) and improving parent–child relationships (30).

Six programs reported positive effects on ethnic–racial identity. During the transition to middle school, racial identity was stable among girls in the intervention group but declined among girls in the control group (31). One program had no effect on African American boys’ racial identity (32), but no comparison group was included; the boys may have been stable compared to a control group, similar to findings involving girls. Only one program had negative effects on the development of ethnic identity (33): African American youth in the intervention group declined in three domains of ethnic identity (i.e., affirmation and belonging, achievement, and ethnic behaviors), while African American youth in the control group increased in ethnic identity.

**Macrosystem Influences**

Not surprisingly, all the programs highlighted institutional or structural racism as the impetus for the program (e.g., lack of culturally responsive educational, prevention, or intervention programming for African American youth). Although implied in several programs, a few programs (Imani Rites of Passage, Project EXCEL, Strong African American Families) highlighted the importance of university–community partnerships to share knowledge and bolster resources. Most of the programs were implemented in urban settings. However, only Imani Rites of Passage described the community context, the center through which programming was offered, and the impact of funding streams on programming. And only one program (Strong African American Families) was for rural youth and families. In this case, the researchers contextualized the needs of the participants within a geographical context (i.e., the rural South).

**Culture-Specific Philosophy**

All nine programs adopted some form of culture-specific philosophy, often reflecting Africentric perspectives that placed African or African American heritage and culture centrally. Several programs used the seven principles of Nguzo Saba and its guidelines and practices for healthy living, which are designed to strengthen African American families, communities, and culture (34). The principles are umoja (unity), kujichagulia (self-determination), ujima (collective work and responsibility), ujamaa (cooperative economics), nia (purpose), kuumba (creativity), and imani (faith). The programs that used Nguzo Saba were MAAT Rites of Passage, NTU: Substance Abuse Prevention, Sisters of Nia, and Young Empowered Sisters.

Other culture-specific philosophies embedded in the programs included Africentric worldviews that promote African cultural values involving spirituality, harmony, and collective responsibility (e.g., Imani Rites of Passage). Project EXCEL was based on the East African Ujamaa philosophy, which emphasizes sharing, cooperation, and respect. Flourish Agenda’s approach was informed by Social Justice Youth Development perspectives, which emphasizes analyzing power within social relationships, promoting systematic change, encouraging collective action, and making identity central (35). The Strong African American Families program adopted a culturally informed approach to prevention informed by the research team’s work with African American families and developed with consideration for the experiences of rural African American youth and their parents.

**Racial–Ethnic Socialization**

Consistent with research on parenting (23), racial–ethnic socialization in youth programs involved process and content. Promotional messages focused on facilitating and preserving African

---

\(^1\)The Understanding Violence program did not provide disaggregated data on ethnic heritage; all participants were described as Black. African American participants in the Imani Rites of Passage program were reported as majority Caribbean descent.

\(^2\)In this section, we used terms the authors reported in their work (e.g., some authors reported ethnic identity, while others reported racial identity).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>ERI measure</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aban Aya</td>
<td>To promote individual and community protective factors in order to reduce youth risk behaviors</td>
<td>Intervention versus control</td>
<td>Fifth to eighth grade</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fathers and Sons</td>
<td>To improve parenting practices and abilities among nonresident fathers in order to reduce youth risk behaviors</td>
<td>Intervention versus control</td>
<td>Ages 8-12</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flourish Agenda</td>
<td>To promote community change by developing leadership skills in youth; promote youth’s social action</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Longitudinal qualitative interviews and analysis</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health intervention (gender/culture specific)</td>
<td>To promote youth’s resilience by increasing self-esteem, sense of culture, and challenge masculine/feminine beliefs</td>
<td>Intervention versus control</td>
<td>Ages 10-12</td>
<td>After school</td>
<td>Children’s Racial Identity Scale</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imani Rites of Passage</td>
<td>To provide educational and cultural enrichment</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Ages 11–14</td>
<td>After school</td>
<td>Retrospective qualitative interview</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAAT Rites of Passage Program</td>
<td>To promote cooperation, sameness of self and others, and responsibility for connection between self and community</td>
<td>Pretest versus posttest</td>
<td>Ages 11–14</td>
<td>After school</td>
<td>Author-developed measure</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTU: Substance Abuse Prevention</td>
<td>To promote youth’s protective factors in order to reduce risk behaviors</td>
<td>Intervention versus control</td>
<td>Fifth to sixth grade</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Children’s Racial Identity Scale</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project EXCEL</td>
<td>To promote youth’s psychological and behavioral well-being</td>
<td>Intervention versus control</td>
<td>Eighth grade</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Multigroup ethnic identity measure</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisters of Nia</td>
<td>To promote psychological and psychosocial wellbeing, reinforce positive interpersonal relationships and provide health education</td>
<td>Intervention versus control</td>
<td>Middle school</td>
<td>After school</td>
<td>Children’s Racial Identity Scale</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong African American Families</td>
<td>To deter youth risk behaviors by supporting effective parenting practices and abilities</td>
<td>Intervention versus control</td>
<td>11 years old</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Multidimensional Inventory of Black Identity</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding Violence</td>
<td>To raise awareness about causes and consequences of youth violence, and increase intent to use nonviolent choices</td>
<td>School-wide prevention program</td>
<td>Fifth grade</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Empowered Sisters</td>
<td>To promote healthy Black identity and collectivist orientation, increase awareness of racism, and encourage participation in liberatory activism</td>
<td>Intervention versus control</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>Multigroup ethnic identity measure</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Empowerment Solutions for Peaceful Communities</td>
<td>To promote youth and community qualities in order to reduce youth violence and improve youth health outcomes</td>
<td>Stratified community-wide prevention</td>
<td>Seventh to eighth grade</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Philosophy</th>
<th>ERI components</th>
<th>Change in ERI</th>
<th>Other outcomes</th>
<th>Examples of curriculum and activities</th>
<th>Gender effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flourish Agenda</td>
<td>Social justice youth development</td>
<td>Exploration/search, understanding of common fate, affect</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased political consciousness</td>
<td>• Educational activities (e.g., slavery reenactment)</td>
<td>n/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health intervention</td>
<td>Africentric worldview (gender/culture specific)</td>
<td>Composite of affect, cognition, and behaviors</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Increased Africentric cultural values, and self-concept</td>
<td>• Workshops</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imani Rites of Passage</td>
<td>Africentric worldview</td>
<td>Exploration/search, identification</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased academic performance, awareness of Black-on-Black violence, collectivism and coping abilities</td>
<td>• Skits about cultural figures (e.g., famous African Americans)</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAAT Rites of Passage Program</td>
<td>Nguzo Saba</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Increased self-esteem and knowledge of drugs</td>
<td>• Guest speakers</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Philosophy</th>
<th>ERI components</th>
<th>Change in ERI</th>
<th>Other outcomes</th>
<th>Examples of curriculum and activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NTU: Substance Abuse Prevention</td>
<td>Nguzo Saba</td>
<td>Composite of affect, cognition, and behaviors</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased knowledge of Africa and self-esteem; reduced negative school behaviors and promoted positive school behaviors</td>
<td>• Rites of Passage program&lt;br&gt;• Weekly sessions, naming ceremony, cultural activities, journaling&lt;br&gt;• Community service&lt;br&gt;• Parent-involved activities&lt;br&gt;• Lectures, discussions, group projects, videos, music, guest lectures&lt;br&gt;• African/African American history and culture&lt;br&gt;• African rituals and practices&lt;br&gt;• Building cohesion and communalism&lt;br&gt;• Activities and discussions&lt;br&gt;• Field trips&lt;br&gt;• Building relationships and addressing social issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project EXCEL</td>
<td>East African Ujamaa</td>
<td>Affect, exploration/search, behaviors</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Increased communalism, school connectedness, motivation, and participation in social change activities</td>
<td>n/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisters of Nia</td>
<td>Nguzo Saba</td>
<td>Composite of affect, appearance, and rejection of stereotypes</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Decreased relational aggression↑</td>
<td>• Activities and discussions&lt;br&gt;• Field trips&lt;br&gt;• Building relationships and addressing social issues&lt;br&gt;• Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong African American Families</td>
<td>Culturally informed prevention</td>
<td>Affect</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Improved parenting abilities; increased adolescent’s self-pride, peer orientation, and reduced sexual intent; decreased adolescent risky sexual behaviors</td>
<td>• Interactive games&lt;br&gt;• Discussions&lt;br&gt;• Role plays&lt;br&gt;• Other activities&lt;br&gt;• n/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Empowered Sisters</td>
<td>Nguzo Saba; Freiren conscientization and praxis, and holistic learning</td>
<td>Composite of affect, exploration/search, behaviors</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased racism awareness, collectivist orientation, and liberatory youth activism</td>
<td>• Activities and discussions (in school, home and community)&lt;br&gt;• Group projects that positively serve their community&lt;br&gt;• Videos, guest speakers, lectures, interactive exercises&lt;br&gt;• Females</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. ERI = ethnic or racial identity; n/d = not discussed; ↑ = authors reported a trend toward significance, controlling for baseline, F(1, 44) = 3.48, p = .07.
and African American heritage and culture (cultural socialization), promoting racial–ethnic pride (e.g., natural hair care), and building positive collective identity (e.g., “I am because we are [Ubuntu]” and “One life, one love, one people”). Some programs communicated messages about social inequities, developing a sense of collective struggle, and ways to cope with racism (e.g., preparation for bias).

The ways messages were communicated varied across programs (see Table 2: Examples of curriculum and activities), with direct socialization strategies the most common. These practices included featuring culture-specific curriculum (e.g., books and other materials), completing research projects, attending lectures and workshops on culture-relevant topics, participating in cultural practices (e.g., unity circles, naming ceremonies, African drumming), engaging in educational activities (e.g., field trips to an African American museum), and hearing African languages (e.g., Swahili). We found little discussion of indirect forms of racial–ethnic socialization (e.g., displaying art work), but all programs were intentional in hiring African American staff and facilitators, which may be an indirect form of socialization.

Interpersonal Interactions
Most programs used interactive activities, including group projects (e.g., fundraising, community service), role playing, and interactive games to facilitate interpersonal interactions. These activities generally provided opportunities for youth and program facilitators (e.g., staff, guest speakers) to interact and learn together. Many programs also used dialog. For example, African American youth in Flourish Agenda discussed collective struggle and developed strategies to address social inequity. Strong African American families used an innovative family-centered prevention model to facilitate conversations between African American youth and their parents about community violence, racism, and oppression. Some programs promoted kinship among staff, youth in the program, and youth and the broader community. For example, male youth in the MAAAT Rites of Passage program referred to adult men as baba (father), adult women as mama, and young peers as brother. Sisters of Nia used the word Jamaa to represent family.

Nature of Relationships
A few programs underscored the importance of the nature of relationships. When feasible, facilitators of the same race and gender as youth facilitated the programming (e.g., Sisters of NIA, Young Empowered Sisters). Sisters of Nia’s African American female staff, called mzesi (Kiswahili for respected elder), were presented as role models for female youth. Similarly, African American women facilitated Young Empowered Sisters, a program for African American high school girls.

Intrapsychological Processes
A few programs addressed the role of youth’s intrapsychological processes. The negative effects of Project EXCEL on ethnic identity may be due to the fact that the curriculum focused heavily on encounters with racism and preparation for bias, which may have caused some African American youth to distance themselves psychologically from their ethnic group to protect their sense of self (33). Some programs (e.g., Flourish Agenda, Project EXCEL, Young Empowered Sisters) used critical pedagogy—a teaching approach to help students address social inequities through reflection, dialog, and the development of strategies to reduce inequality—to raise African American youth’s racial awareness and social consciousness, and promote the development of ethnic–racial identity.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR RESEARCH
Collectively, these studies support the notion that youth programs can promote African American youth’s ethnic–racial identity. Although research has not addressed interactions with peers, they are also an important feature of learning in youth programs. Therefore, research is needed to understand how peer to peer interactions affect African American youth’s development of ethnic–racial identity in youth programs.

Furthermore, it is less clear how these findings vary as a function of youth’s intrapsychological processes (e.g., cognitive appraisals, engagement). Researchers should investigate whether the effectiveness of programming varies as a function of African American youth’s ethnic–racial identity. They should also identify which practices affect specific domains of ethnic–racial identity (e.g., activities that facilitate pride vs. psychological distancing).

Most of the programs chose facilitators intentionally based on the premise that staff should understand African American youth’s backgrounds and cultural values, have extensive experience working in African American communities, or present themselves as positive role models for African American youth. Other studies support the importance of matching by ethnicity and gender in programs for African American youth, especially in programs that promote reproductive and sexual health (36). These studies seem to support the importance of such matching in youth programs that promote African American youth’s ethnic–racial identity; however, researchers should investigate these assumptions further.

Finally, although our focus was on African American youth, our conceptual framework should be relevant for other culturally underrepresented groups in the United States (e.g., Asian American, Latino/Hispanic, Native American) and youth in other ethnically heterogeneous countries. In fact, scholars have suggested that ethnic–racial identity is an important aspect of youth’s development in societies where youth must position themselves as members of a minority group within the mainstream culture (37). Therefore, we urge researchers to examine the relevance of this conceptual framework in work with diverse groups of youth.
CONCLUSION

Ethnic–racial identity plays an important role in African American youth’s positive development. Programs for youth can positively influence African American youth’s development of ethnic–racial identity, which has implications not only for African American youth’s positive development in these programs, but also for policy and practice regarding youth programming in African American communities. Thus, policymakers and funding agencies should support the work of effective youth programs that promote African American youth’s cultural assets, such as ethnic–racial identity. Gaining a deeper understanding of how youth programs work will help make programs more engaging and effective for African American youth.
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