

Social Choice 4/21/21 - shape and fairness

Score _____

1. Which of these shapes has the highest Polsby-Popper score?

- (A) A large circle
- (B) A small square
- (C) A large square
- (D) A regular octagon

size doesn't matter are = to each other

Possible PoPo Scores:

circle is only shape where PoPo score = 1 which is the highest possible score!



2. Which of these provides a valid argument for using Polsby-Popper scores in redistricting?
Choose all that apply.

- (A) Circular districts are the most fair because of the way they fit together on realistic urban maps, and circles have high PoPo scores
- (B) To make a carefully composed (gerrymandered) district might require winding shapes, and winding shapes have low PoPo scores
- (C) Small districts are often unfair because they pack urban voters, and small districts have low PoPo scores
- (D) If a district is barely contiguous like Pennsylvania-7 it will show up in a bad PoPo score

3. Suppose you are trying to cut a 10x10 grid into 2 districts of 50 units each. What is the lowest possible cut edges score?

- (A) 0
- (B) 10
- (C) 20
- (D) 100

4. Which of these provides a valid argument for using cut edges scores in redistricting? *Choose all that apply.*
- (A) Scores that take the geographic units into account are more suited to real-world redistricting, which is about dividing up the units (not drawing shapes on a chalkboard)
 - (B) Cut edges measures the *complexity* of a district plan — complicated (gerrymandered) plans will have more cut edges, which is a bad cut edges score
 - (C) The cut edges score is for a whole plan, not for single districts, so it requires you to think about the whole picture, which puts decisions in context
 - (D) Cut edges won't penalize a districting plan just because the state itself has jagged edges or a weird shape