

Measuring food access using the Cost of a Healthy Diet (CoHD): Insights from retail prices worldwide

Plenary paper presented at ICAE 2024 in New Delhi, Aug. 3-7, 2024

Last revised August 31st, 2024

William A. Masters

Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy, Tufts University
Department of Economics, Tufts University
william.masters@tufts.edu

Jessica K. Wallingford

Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy, Tufts University
jessica.wallingford@tufts.edu

Anna W. Herforth

Food Prices for Nutrition project, Tufts University
anna@annaerforth.net

Yan Bai

Development Data Group, The World Bank
ybai@worldbank.org

Copyright 2024 by William A. Masters, Jessica K. Wallingford, Anna W. Herforth, and Yan Bai. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

This work was conducted as part of the Food Prices for Nutrition project (INV-016158), funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office of the United Kingdom. Project news is here: <https://www.linkedin.com/showcase/food-prices-for-nutrition>, and details of the project is here: <https://sites.tufts.edu/foodpricesfornutrition>.

Abstract

Since 2020, measuring a population's access to sufficient nutritious food for an active and healthy life has been done with a new metric known as the Cost and Affordability of Healthy Diets (CoAHD), computed annually for all countries by the World Bank and the FAO, and also used by researchers and national governments to track spatial and temporal variation within countries. This new kind of cost and affordability data measures food access using market prices of the least expensive locally available items that would meet nutritional criteria adopted by national governments, as summarized in a Healthy Diet Basket (HDB) level of intake balanced among six complementary food groups: starchy staples, vegetables, fruits, fats & oils, animal source foods, and legumes, nuts or seeds. CoAHD metrics reflect the definition of food security introduced during the World Food Summit of 1996, and complement earlier measures of global food security used by UN agencies and governments, which are the Prevalence of Undernourishment (PoU) based on total national availability and intake distribution of calories, and the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES) based on survey data asking whether a household ran out of resources to acquire their usual diets. This paper briefly discusses the evolution of global food security measurement, then highlights updates to the methods used to compute CoAHD indicators and presents newly available CoAHD data obtained using this methodology and updated price data.

1. Defining and measuring global food security: from energy sufficiency to foods for health

The concept and measurement of food security has evolved with changing policy priorities and availability of new data sources (Herforth 2015, Masters, Finaret, and Block 2022). The first global definition of food security was introduced by the World Food Conference of 1974, where national governments responded to sharp price rises for traded commodities and recent famines in South Asia and Africa by declaring food security to be “*availability at all times of adequate world food supplies of basic foodstuffs to sustain a steady expansion of food consumption and to offset fluctuations in production and prices*”. Policies at that time focused on global concerns that population growth would outpace food supplies, which led to increased investment in production of staple crops as recommended by the official conference report (United Nations 1975).

Since 1974, world food security has been monitored by the UN’s Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) using a metric known as the Prevalence of Undernourishment (PoU), estimating the number and percent of people in each country whose total food intake is below the estimated energy requirements for a healthy population. The PoU approach was first developed by P.V. Sukhatme (1961), using recent household surveys to estimate inequality of food consumption as a log-normal distribution of energy intake within countries, and then shifting that distribution using the country’s total available dietary energy and demographic projections to trace out changes in prevalence of intake below target levels associated with a healthy population. Each country’s degree of inequality is re-estimated every few years when new survey data are available, so year-to-year changes in PoU are driven by population growth relative to the country’s total dietary energy supplies as measured by national food balance sheets (FAO 2001).

Fifty years after its introduction, the PoU continues to provide a useful metric with which to track total availability for food of all kinds in each country and worldwide (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO 2024). In the 1980s, a new type of survey question was introduced by Kathy Radimer (1990), measuring hunger and food insecurity by asking people whether they were sometimes unable to obtain their usual diet due to a lack of money or other resources to acquire food. Radimer and colleagues asked whether a lack of resources had forced respondents to skip a meal, eat fewer foods, eat smaller quantities of food, go to bed hungry, go an entire day without eating, or experience other food-related hardships. Subsequent studies discovered that this kind of experience is so memorable that recall over an entire year is feasible, and that many of these experiences are sufficiently frequent in both low- and high-income countries that worldwide use is feasible. The resulting indicator, initially known as the Cornell-Radimer Hunger Scale, was first introduced for the U.S. in 1996 and is now measured using an updated set of Food Security Survey Modules (USDA Economic Research Service 2022), with similar questions adopted elsewhere such as Canada's Household Food Security Survey Module (Health Canada 2012). Kathy Radimer's original research asked about many different aspects of hunger and deprivation, experimenting with alternative ways of asking people about food shortages and scarcity in their household. Ultimately, monitoring food security in the U.S. is based on up to 18 distinct questions about different kinds of deprivation, counting people as food insecure if they answer yes to more than a threshold number of questions (USDA Economic Research Service 2022). International studies then sought a scale whose results would be comparable across countries (Coates, Swindale, and Bilinsky 2007), leading to the FAO's Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES) that uses only eight questions (Ballard, Kepple, and Cafiero 2013) with Rasch modeling to obtain a country-specific weight on each question (FAO 2023b).

The PoU and FIES are currently used to track global progress towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal 2.1 to “*end hunger and ensure access by all people, in particular the poor and people in vulnerable situations, including infants, to safe, nutritious and sufficient food all year round*” (United Nations 2016), but it is widely understood that neither the PoU nor FIES measure access to ‘*nutritious*’ food. To fill that gap, a new indicator known as the Cost and Affordability of a Healthy Diet (CoAHD) was developed by Herforth et al. (2020) and adopted alongside PoU and FIES for annual global monitoring (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO 2020; FAO 2023a; World Bank 2023a). The Cost of a Healthy Diet (CoHD) is defined as the total value per day of the least expensive locally available foods that would meet national food-based dietary guidelines (Herforth et al. 2023).

The CoAHD approach defines the foods needed for health using national dietary guidelines because those are government documents representing a country’s scientific and policy consensus about what constitutes a healthy diet for the general population. Each national dietary guideline refers to locally appropriate dishes, and recommends target quantities from specific food groups in proportions needed to achieve nutrient adequacy and protection from diet-related diseases. Adherence to the guidelines allows substitution among items within groups, each of which is a complement to the other food groups. Consumer-facing guidelines specify the total target quantity of items in each food group using everyday units such as grams and liters or cups and ounces, recognizing that each food’s volume and weight may be affected by its air or water content. For example, the U.S. dietary guidelines specify that one cup of fresh fruit is nutritionally equivalent to one-half cup of dried fruit (USDA and HHS 2020). Dietary guidelines also specify the need to maintain energy balance, which depends on a person’s height, weight, physical activity, life stage and other factors. To calculate CoHD, target quantities of the

reference foods mentioned in dietary guidelines are converted into dietary energy from that type of food, netting out water weight so that substitution among items within each category provides a balanced diet between food groups and overall.

To compute CoHD, the items for which price data are available are matched with a food composition database, and the price per calorie of each item is then used to identify the least expensive locally available source of each food group. The lowest cost items within groups vary over time and space, but the quantity of food from each group remains fixed to meet dietary guidelines. The resulting least-cost foods provide a benchmark diet against which to compare actual food consumption. In very low-income settings, most people actually consume foods that cost less than CoHD, primarily because they consume more starchy staples and less than target quantities of the higher-cost, nutrient-rich food groups. In higher-income populations, people consume foods that cost more than CoHD because they switch from low-cost starchy staples to higher-cost food groups, especially animal source foods and discretionary items not needed to meet dietary guidelines, and also because they choose more expensive items within food groups.

Using locally available items to compute CoHD provides an operational metric for food security based on the definition that “*food security exists when all people at all times have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life*” (World Food Summit 1996). Measuring access allows analysts to distinguish between three causes of unhealthy diets, guiding action towards policies and programs that would remedy each barrier to change:

- (a) Comparing costs over space and time provides operational guidance for agriculture and food distribution, revealing what prices are unusually high and which food value chains have demonstrated potential for cost reduction that would improve access to healthy diets;

- (b) Comparing costs to income available for food provides operational guidance for poverty reduction, safety nets and nutrition assistance, revealing which people have low incomes or high nonfood costs that block access to healthy diets;
- (c) Comparing least-cost items to actual food consumption provides operational guidance about barriers to healthy eating other than local availability and price, including time use and cooking costs as well as taste and aspirations that guide food choice.

The operational value of computing benchmark least-cost healthy diets for each population led to the rapid adoption of CoAHD. Since 2020, the metric has been used for global monitoring of access to healthy diets, complementing PoU and FIES in the UN system flagship report on *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World* (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO 2020; 2021; 2022; 2023; 2024). Methods were updated in the 2022 and 2024 reports, and since the 2022 report all results have been published simultaneously by both FAO and the World Bank, with downloadable data in the World Bank's Food Prices for Nutrition Database (World Bank 2023a) as well FAOSTAT (FAO 2023a). Those data are then republished on other platforms such as the Food Systems Dashboard (Fanzo et al. 2020; Food Systems Dashboard 2023) and the Food Systems Countdown Initiative (Schneider et al. 2023), or Our World in Data (Ritchie, Rosado, and Roser 2023). Global monitoring of CoAHD uses national average prices and household incomes over an entire year, while national monitoring can track geographic and seasonal variation within countries. The indicator has been calculated from national data in several countries (Alemayehu et al. 2023; Fatima et al. 2024; Van et al. 2023; Ballard, Kepple, and Cafiero 2013; Herforth et al. 2024), with Nigeria as the first country to introduce monthly bulletins tracking healthy diet costs as an official national statistic alongside their traditional consumer price index (National Bureau of Statistics 2024).

Using the lowest cost items in each food group is designed to provide a new kind of price index measuring access to international standards of diet quality. This approach was first introduced by Masters et al. (2018) for access to the Minimum Diet Diversity for Women (MDD-W) nutritional standard, and by Herforth et al. (2020) to measure access to food that meets national dietary guidelines, and also used for access to the EAT-Lancet reference diet by Hirvonen et al. (2020). Food group targets developed for dietary guidelines are designed to meet all nutrient requirements, but these studies showed that diets can meet nutrient requirements at a lower cost than CoHD. Calculating least cost diets for nutrient adequacy is more difficult than computing CoHD, requiring linear programming to select diets that fall within lower and upper bounds for energy, macronutrients and/or micronutrients (Deptford et al. 2017; Bai, Herforth, and Masters 2022). To guide food assistance in humanitarian settings, the cost of a diet with adequate nutrients that might not also meet diet guidelines is used by the World Food Programme in countries around the world (Knight et al. 2024), complementing CoAHD monitoring (Wallingford et al. 2024).

The remainder of this paper provides an overview of the CoAHD approach, discusses methodological advancements in the calculation of its affordability component, and then presents a new analysis of updated global CoAHD data using newly available food price data for 2021 from the World Bank's International Comparison Program (ICP) (The World Bank 2024).

2. Measuring the cost and affordability of healthy diets within countries and globally

The CoAHD approach was initially developed and can still be implemented using a country's own national dietary guidelines, as done for example in Ethiopia (Alemayehu et al.

2023), while global monitoring is done using the international Healthy Diet Basket (HDB) standard developed by Herforth et al. (2022, 2024).

2.1 The Healthy Diet Basket and illustrative examples of least cost healthy diets

The purpose of the HDB standard is to capture commonalities among national guidelines, specifying energy balance across eleven items in six food groups as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The Healthy Diet Basket

Food group	Number of items	Energy content (kcal)	Energy share (% kcal)
Starchy staples	2	1,160	50
Vegetables	3	110	5
Fruits	2	160	7
Animal-source foods	2	300	13
Legumes, nuts & seeds	1	300	13
Oils and fats	1	300	12
Total	11	2330	100

Source: Herforth et al., 2022.


The HDB's total of 2330 kcal, shown in the bottom row of Table 1, represents daily needs for a 30-year-old woman of median height and weight in the WHO global reference population (Schneider and Herforth 2020), which happens to also be the simple average for the median healthy person across all age-sex strata (Herforth et al. 2024). When choosing the least expensive locally available items in each country, meeting the HDB targets by food group reaches nutrient adequacy as or more often than when following individual dietary guidelines, thereby validating the HDB as a composite metric of commonalities among them (Herforth et al. 2022). The HDB is designed to reflect foods needed not only for nutrient adequacy but also protection from some diet-related diseases, by omitting discretionary items with high levels of added sugar or sodium and other risk factors. Limited quantities of items from that seventh discretionary food group are allowed under many national dietary guidelines, but they are not

required for health so are not included when measuring access to sufficient foods for an active and healthy life.

Access to a healthy diet as specified in the HDB or national dietary guidelines depends on prices for the least expensive locally available items in each of the six food groups, multiplied by quantities per day, which is the Cost of a Healthy Diet (CoHD) indicator. Data sources and computational methods are specified in Herforth et al. (2023), and software tools for anyone to compute CoHD from local price data are available from the Food Prices for Nutrition project at Tufts University (Food Prices for Nutrition 2023). Adding up costs for the least expensive items reported to be locally available allows analysts to distinguish between access to a healthy diet and foods actually consumed, recognizing that other more costly items often have desirable attributes such as preferred tastes, ease of use in meal preparation, cultural significance or aspirational branding.

Specific items selected as least cost healthy diets when calculating CoHD for global comparison across four example countries are shown in Figure 1, illustrating the range of items and cost shares by food group underlying the CoHD data available from FAOSTAT (FAO 2023a) and the World Bank's Food Prices for Nutrition Database (The World Bank 2023a).

Figure 1. Item selection and cost shares in four least-cost healthy diets for 2017



Note: Data shown are abbreviated item names, with colors showing each food group’s share of the cost shown for each country, as reported in FAO (2023a). Foods selected are the least expensive items in each Healthy Diet Basket food group, based on prices and item descriptions reported by national statistical organizations to the International Comparison Program (The World Bank 2024). Legend outside the Pakistan data at top left show the number of food items per food group and recommended intake in kcal per food item based on the Healthy Diet Basket (Herforth et al., 2022).

Each panel of Figure 1 shows abbreviated names for a specific item, whose local availability and average price as reported by the country’s national statistical organization revealed it to be among the least expensive options to meet HDB targets in 2017. The total cost per day is shown at the center of each plate, with cost shares shown in the color-coded area drawn proportional to that variable. Figure 1 illustrates how item selection and cost shares forming CoHD reflect local agroecology and food systems, with characteristic differences and

similarities across countries such as the least-cost animal source foods being two kinds of dried fish in Senegal, chicken meat and buffalo milk in Pakistan, chicken meat and boxed ultra-pasteurized cow's milk in Italy, or two kinds of dairy in the United States. Onions, carrots and cabbage are commonly among the least-cost vegetables, and bananas frequently appear as a least-cost fruit, while others vary. The items, range of cost shares and cost per day shown in Figure 1 are typical of least-cost diets observed around the world, with variation due partly to actual differences among food systems, but also to measurement error and systematic differences such as the type of vendor or date and time when prices were collected.

2.2 Food item price data for healthy diet cost and affordability measurement

Food costs for global monitoring come from the ICP, a global initiative to assemble national average prices of internationally standardized items. The purpose of the ICP is to compute the Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) value of each currency and hence real incomes in each population (The World Bank 2024). To calculate PPP exchange rates, the ICP needs to assemble nationally representative local currency prices for standard items of similar quality and packaging sold in multiple countries, and to cover the entire world economy they must obtain prices for all countries and territories. This process takes several years, so is done periodically with a lag. For example, prices for 2017 were released in 2020, and prices for 2021 were released in 2024. CoAHD estimates published in 2020–2023 were therefore based on item prices for 2017. To project CoAHD forward or backward for years when item prices are not available, the CoAHD metric uses each country's overall food price inflation as reported by their statistical organization to the FAO and the International Monetary Fund. For example, the updated CoAHD estimates published in 2024 used the prices reported for 2021, projected back to 2017 and forward to 2022 by deflating or inflating diet costs according to the country's consumer price index for all foods.

2.3 Healthy diet affordability measurement

Affordability of healthy diets globally is reported by the FAO and the World Bank as the number and percentage of people whose incomes available for food are below CoHD, based on each country's national income distribution from household survey data compiled by the World Bank's Poverty and Inequality Platform (The World Bank 2023b). In the original CoAHD estimates released in 2022 and 2023, a healthy diet was deemed unaffordable if it cost more than 52 percent of a household's total income or expenditure—a threshold based on the average food expenditure share in low-income countries, as reported to the ICP in national accounts for 2017 (The World Bank 2023a; FAO 2023a). That threshold was then updated in 2024, based on more recent available survey data about spending on required nonfood items such as housing, education, and health care, which must be subtracted from total income to obtain a household's income available for food (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO 2024).

2.4 An updated threshold for measuring the affordability of healthy diets

Expenditure on nonfood needs rise with country income, as reflected in government poverty lines that tend to be higher in higher-income countries (Jolliffe and Prydz 2021). One reason for this could be that nonfood needs involve non-tradable services that are more expensive in higher-wage countries. To account for differences in item prices, researchers have applied the least-cost method by identifying the minimum quantities of nonfood items required in each country, and then assembling prices for the lowest cost version of those items. Such a quantity-based approach was used by Allen (2017) and Headey et al. (2024), but only for housing, energy, clothing and soap. Required expenditure for services such as education, health care, communications and transportation might actually involve larger quantities as well as higher prices, calling for an approach other than the least-cost method. For CoAHD, the


preferred approach is to infer the required level of nonfood spending from national governments' poverty lines, as done for the updated CoAHD results published in July 2024 (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO 2024). This method of determining required nonfood spending uses the World Bank's international poverty lines, which in turn are based on the average of national government poverty lines in each income group: currently \$2.15 for low-income countries, \$3.65 for lower middle income, \$6.85 for upper middle income, and \$24.36 for high-income countries, all in PPP dollars per capita per day. The CoAHD method then uses the actual nonfood spending of people near the relevant poverty line in each country, as estimated by the World Bank for each quintile of the population, and subtracts that level of nonfood spending per day from total household income or expenditure to obtain income available for food (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO 2024). We present these updated data below, highlighting the core results of global cost and affordability assessment, exploring HDB food group costs across countries, and comparing the affordability of healthy diets to previous food security metrics.

3. Newly available data on the cost and affordability of a healthy diet in 2021


The global average CoHD in 2021 was \$3.56 in U.S. dollars at purchasing power parity prices (PPP) per person per day. Consistent with previous rounds of data, newly released data show that the CoHD in 2021 is not systematically related to national income, as shown in the Pen's Parade plot in panel A of Figure 2. This figure also shows the somewhat lower level of cost for diets with the minimum degree of nutrient adequacy, and the even lower threshold of diets that provide only dietary energy. All of those diet costs can be compared to both national income and actual food spending (Figure 2, panel B).

Figure 2. Cost of a healthy diet, nutrient adequate diet, and energy sufficient diet by country at each level of national income or food expenditure per capita per day in 2021

A. Cost of a healthy diet, nutrient adequate diet, and energy sufficient diet and GNI per capita per day



B. Cost of a healthy diet, nutrient adequate diet, and energy sufficient diet and food expenditure per capita per day




Note: Pen's Parade plots in panels A and B show the global population by country, represented by the width of each bar, in order of national income per person per day. Data shown in colored horizontal lines are estimates for 2021 of each country's Cost of a Healthy Diet (CoHD), cost of a nutrient adequate diet (CoNA), and cost of an energy sufficient diet (CoCA) in U.S. dollars at purchasing power parity prices, per person, per day, available from the World Bank Food Prices for Nutrition Database, version 3.0, at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/food-prices-for-nutrition>. The height of each dark purple bar represents a country's national income per person per day (panel A) or food expenditure per person per day (panel B). National income data are available from the World Development Indicators, at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>. Food expenditure data are from the International Comparison Program, and the missing data for Russia is in Panel B.


Figure 3 and Figure S1 show how the six HDB food group costs sum to the CoHD within each country. Breaking the CoHD out into its HDB food group components shows that nutrient dense food groups like animal-source foods, vegetables, and fruits tend to make up the greatest shares of the CoHD, bringing the CoHD close to GNI per capita per day (Figure 3, panel A) and above average food expenditures per capita per day (Figure 3, panel B) for many of the poorest countries. On average globally, oils and fats are the least expensive food group, followed by legumes, nuts, and seeds, starchy staples, fruits, vegetables, then animal-source foods, though this ranking does not consistently hold within each country, showing how local agroecology and food system characteristics are reflected in HDB food group costs (Figure 3, panel C). Within food groups, the range of costs varies by income level and region, where, for example, the range of costs for the animal-source foods food group is much higher for low-income countries than for high-income countries, and the range of costs for the vegetables and fruits food groups are substantially lower for low-income countries than for high-income countries (Figure 4).

Figure 3. Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in least-cost healthy diets and Gross National Income or food expenditure per capita per day in 2021


A. Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in least-cost healthy diets and GNI per capita per day



B. Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in least-cost healthy diets and food expenditure per capita per day




C. Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in least-cost healthy diets




Note: Pen's Parade plots in panels A-C show the global population by country, represented by the width of each bar, in order of national income per person per day. Data shown in colored horizontal lines in panels A and B represent the cumulative Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in each country's least-cost healthy diet in 2021 in U.S. dollars at purchasing power parity prices per person per day, beginning with the cost of starchy staples as the lowest line in purple, then adding on the cost of legumes, nuts and seeds in blue, oils and fats in green, animal-source foods in yellow, vegetables in orange, and fruits to reach each country's Cost of a Healthy Diet (CoHD) in red, available from the World Bank Food Prices for Nutrition Database, version 3.0, at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/food-prices-for-nutrition>. The height of each dark purple bar represents a country's national income per person per day (panel A) or food expenditure per person per day (panel B). National income data are available from the World Development Indicators, at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>. Food expenditure data are from the International Comparison Program, and the missing data for Russia is in Panel B. Panel C shows costs for each Healthy Diet Basket food group in each country, as well as global average food group costs.

Figure 4. Range of costs per day by Healthy Diet Basket food group in each World Bank income category, 2021

A. Range of costs per day by Healthy Diet Basket food group in each World Bank income category




B. Range of costs per day by Healthy Diet Basket food group in each region



Note: Data shown are FAO and World Bank estimates for the Cost of a Healthy Diet (CoHD) in 2021, using U.S. dollars at purchasing power parity prices, available from the World Bank Food Prices for Nutrition Database, version 3.0, at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/food-prices-for-nutrition>, or on FAOSTAT, at <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/CAHD>.

Using the updated affordability threshold that incorporates the nonfood expenditure of people near the international poverty line for each of the World Bank's country income categories, the least-cost locally available healthy diet was unaffordable for approximately 2.8 billion people in 2021, which is about 36% of the world population. As with the PoU and the FIES, the share of the population unable to afford a healthy diet is concentrated in low- and lower-middle-income countries, though the prevalence of unaffordability has even more variance at each income level than the PoU or FIES, reflecting variance in income and prices for the least-cost food items needed for health (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Prevalence of undernourishment, experience of food insecurity, and affordability of healthy diets in 2021



Note: Data shown are estimates for 2021 of the percent of the population within each country unable to afford a healthy diet, downloaded from the World Bank Food Prices for Nutrition Database, version 3.0, at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/food-prices-for-nutrition>, and two FAO indicators, prevalence of undernourishment and experience of moderate or severe food insecurity, downloaded from FAOSTAT at <https://www.fao.org/faostat>. National income data were downloaded from the World Development Indicators at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>.

4. Conclusions

Updated global monitoring data for diet costs, using 2021 ICP food prices instead of 2017 prices, and number of people who cannot afford that cost, using new thresholds based on non-food requirements that are lower in low-income countries and higher in middle-income countries than those used for previous analyses, show that healthy diets remain unaffordable for just under three billion people in the world in 2021. Where healthy diets are affordable, the least-cost items needed for health are often displaced by more expensive items, as revealed by average

food expenditures that far surpass the CoHD in many upper-middle- and high-income countries. These new data also show how nutrient-rich food groups, like fruits, vegetables, and animal-source foods tend to be more costly than the remaining HDB food groups (starchy staples, legumes, nuts and seeds, and oils and fats), and that, while the CoHD shows no relationship with country income level, the range of costs within HDB food groups varies across income groups, in ways that differ across food groups. These cost data by HDB food group are currently only available for the year 2021, corresponding to the most recent year for which ICP food price data are available. Future work could develop methodology and expand food price data collection to allow for annual estimates of the food group costs that make up the CoHD.

Measuring access to foods needed for health, as captured by the CoAHD suite of indicators, complements ongoing monitoring of the PoU and FIES. Identifying the least-cost food items needed for health, and the number of people unable to afford even these least-cost items in each place and time reveals where improvements in agriculture and food distribution may be needed to lower diet costs, improvements in livelihoods and social protection systems may be needed to raise incomes available for food, and actions to address the drivers of food choice beyond prices and income may be needed to improve diet quality. Continued global monitoring of the cost and affordability of healthy diets remains critical for guiding policy and intervention to improve global food security and move food systems towards achieving universal access to healthy diets.

References

- Alemayehu, D, FN Bachewe, T Genye, R Gilbert, H Haile, D Headey, WA Masters, and M Tessema. 2023. "Implementation of the Ethiopian Food-Based Dietary Guidelines: Analysis of Cost and Affordability of Healthy Diets, January 2020 - December 2022." Food Science and Nutrition Research Directorate Scientific Newsletter. Addis Ababa: Ethiopian Public Health Institute. <https://ephi.gov.et/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/Ethiopian-FBDGs-CoHD-Scientific-Newsletter-Article-WebVersion-1.pdf>.
- Allen, Robert C. 2017. "Absolute Poverty: When Necessity Displaces Desire." *American Economic Review* 107 (12): 3690–3721. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20161080>.
- Bai, Yan, Anna Herforth, and William A Masters. 2022. "Global Variation in the Cost of a Nutrient-Adequate Diet by Population Group: An Observational Study." *The Lancet Planetary Health* 6 (1): e19–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(21\)00285-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00285-0).
- Ballard, Terri J, Anne W Kepple, and Carlo Cafiero. 2013. "The Food Insecurity Experience Scale. Development of a Global Standard for Monitoring Hunger Worldwide."
- Coates, Jennifer, Anne Swindale, and Paula Bilinsky. 2007. "Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for Measurement of Food Access: Indicator Guide: Version 3: (576842013-001)." <https://doi.org/10.1037/e576842013-001>.
- Deptford, Amy, Tommy Allieri, Rachel Childs, Claudia Damu, Elaine Ferguson, Jennie Hilton, Paul Parham, et al. 2017. "Cost of the Diet: A Method and Software to Calculate the Lowest Cost of Meeting Recommended Intakes of Energy and Nutrients from Local Foods." *BMC Nutrition* 3 (1): 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40795-017-0136-4>.
- Fanzo, Jessica, Lawrence Haddad, Rebecca McLaren, Quinn Marshall, Claire Davis, Anna Herforth, Andrew Jones, et al. 2020. "The Food Systems Dashboard Is a New Tool to Inform Better Food Policy." *Nature Food* 1 (5): 243–46. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-0077-y>.
- FAO. 2001. *Food Balance Sheets - A Handbook*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <https://www.fao.org/3/X9892E/X9892E00.htm>.
- . 2023a. "FAOSTAT - Cost and Affordability of a Healthy Diet (CoAHD)." Rome, Italy: FAO. <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/CAHD>.
- . 2023b. "Voices of the Hungry: The Food Insecurity Experience Scale." Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <https://www.fao.org/in-action/voices-of-the-hungry/fies/en>.
- FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO. 2020. *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2020: Transforming food systems for affordable healthy diets*. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World (SOFI) 2020. Rome: FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9692en>.
- . 2021. *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2021: Transforming food systems for food security, improved nutrition and affordable healthy diets for all*. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World (SOFI) 2021. Rome, Italy: FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb4474en>.
- . 2022. *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2022: Repurposing Food and Agricultural Policies to Make Healthy Diets More Affordable*. Rome: FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc0639en>.
- . 2023. "The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023: Urbanization, Agrifood Systems Transformation and Healthy Diets across the Rural–Urban Continuum." Rome: FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc3017en>.
- . 2024. "The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2024. Financing to End Hunger, Food Insecurity and Malnutrition in All Its Forms." Rome: FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cd1254en>.
- Fatima, T., R.D. Gilbert, A.W. Herforth, and R.A. Jahangeer. 2024. "Measuring the Cost and Affordability of a Healthy Diet in Pakistan." Islamabad, Pakistan: FAO.


- Food Prices for Nutrition. 2023. “Software Tools for Calculating the Cost of a Healthy Diet.” Boston, MA: Tufts University. <https://sites.tufts.edu/foodpricesfornutrition/tools>.
- Food Systems Dashboard. 2023. “Food Systems Dashboard: Food Environments | Food Affordability | Cost of a Healthy Diet.” <https://www.foodsystemsdashboard.org/indicators/food-environments/food-affordability/cost-of-a-healthy-diet>.
- Headey, Derek, Kalle Hirvonen, and Harold Alderman. 2024. “Estimating the Cost and Affordability of Healthy Diets: How Much Do Methods Matter?” *Food Policy* 126 (July):102654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2024.102654>.
- Health Canada. 2012. “The Household Food Security Survey Module (HFSSM).” Surveys;notices. 2012. <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/food-nutrition-surveillance/health-nutrition-surveys/canadian-community-health-survey-cchs/household-food-insecurity-canada-overview/household-food-security-survey-module-hfssm-health-nutrition-surveys-health-canada.html>.
- Herforth, Anna. 2015. “Access to Adequate Nutritious Food: New Indicators to Track Progress and Inform Action.” In *The Fight against Hunger and Malnutrition: The Role of Food, Agriculture, and Targeted Policies*, edited by David E. Sahn, 139–62. New York: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198733201.003.0007>.
- Herforth, Anna, Yan Bai, Aishwarya Venkat, Kristi Mahrt, Alissa Ebel, and William A. Masters. 2020. *Cost and Affordability of Healthy Diets across and within Countries: Background Paper for The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2020*. FAO Agricultural Development Economics Technical Study No. 9. FAO Agricultural Development Economics Technical Studies 9. Rome: FAO. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb2431en>.
- Herforth, Anna, Aishwarya Venkat, Yan Bai, Leah Costlow, Cindy Holleman, and William A. Masters. 2022. “Methods and Options to Monitor the Cost and Affordability of a Healthy Diet Globally.” Background paper to The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2022 22–03. FAO Agricultural Development Economics Working Paper. Rome: FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc1169en>.
- Herforth, Anna W., Rachel Gilbert, Kristina Sokourenko, Tehreem Fatima, Olutayo Adeyemi, Dawit Alemayehu, Eunice Arhin, et al. 2024. “Monitoring the Cost and Affordability of a Healthy Diet within Countries: Building Systems in Ethiopia, Ghana, Malawi, Nigeria, Pakistan, Tanzania, and Viet Nam.” *Current Developments in Nutrition*, August, 104441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cdnut.2024.104441>.
- Herforth, Anna W, Cindy Holleman, Yan Bai, and William A. Masters. 2023. “The Cost and Affordability of a Healthy Diet (CoAHD) Indicators: Methods and Data Sources: Metadata for FAOSTAT.” Rome, Italy (<https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/CAHD>): FAO. <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/CAHD>.
- Hirvonen, Kalle, Yan Bai, Derek Headey, and William A. Masters. 2020. “Affordability of the EAT–Lancet Reference Diet: A Global Analysis.” *The Lancet Global Health* 8 (1): e59–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(19\)30447-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30447-4).
- Jolliffe, Dean, and Espen Beer Prydz. 2021. “Societal Poverty: A Relative and Relevant Measure.” *The World Bank Economic Review* 35 (1): 180–206. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhz018>.
- Knight, Frances, Jane Badham, Helen Walls, Nora Hobbs, and Saskia de Pee. 2024. ““Fill the Nutrient Gap’ Diet Modelling and Situation Analysis Contributes to Multisectoral Policy and Programme Decision-Making*.” *Global Food Security* 41 (June):100756. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2024.100756>.
- Masters, William A., Yan Bai, Anna Herforth, Daniel B. Sarpong, Fulgence Mishili, Joyce Kinabo, and Jennifer C. Coates. 2018. “Measuring the Affordability of Nutritious Diets in Africa: Price Indexes for Diet Diversity and the Cost of Nutrient Adequacy.” *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 100 (5): 1285–1301. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aay059>.
- Masters, William A., and Amelia B. Finaret. 2024. *Food Economics: Agriculture, Nutrition and Health*. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan. <https://link.springer.com/book/9783031538391>.

- Masters, William A., Amelia B. Finaret, and Steven A. Block. 2022. "The Economics of Malnutrition: Dietary Transition and Food System Transformation." In *Handbook of Agricultural Economics*, 6:4997–5083. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.hesagr.2022.03.006>.
- National Bureau of Statistics. 2024. "Cost of Healthy Diets Monthly Reports." Abuja, Nigeria: National Bureau of Statistics. <https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/elibrary/read/1241481>.
- Radimer, Kathy Lynn. 1990. "Understanding Hunger and Developing Indicators to Assess It." PhD dissertation, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University. <https://www.proquest.com/openview/deb60c5af273cd8dbf8f847c9bc4316a/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>.
- Ritchie, Hannah, Pablo Rosado, and Max Roser. 2023. "Our World in Data | Food Prices." Oxford, UK: Our World in Data. <https://ourworldindata.org/food-prices>.
- Schneider, Kate, and A Herforth. 2020. "Software Tools for Practical Application of Human Nutrient Requirements in Food Based Social Science Research." *Gates Open Research*. <https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.13207.1>
- Schneider, Kate R., Jessica Fanzo, Lawrence Haddad, Mario Herrero, Jose Rosero Moncayo, Anna Herforth, Roseline Remans, et al. 2023. "The State of Food Systems Worldwide in the Countdown to 2030." *Nature Food* 4 (12): 1090–1110. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00885-9>.
- The World Bank. 2023a. "Food Prices for Nutrition DataHub: Global Statistics on the Cost and Affordability of Healthy Diets." 2023. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/icp/brief/foodpricesfornutrition>.
- . 2023b. "Poverty and Inequality Platform (PIP)." Washington, DC: World Bank. <https://pip.worldbank.org/about>.
- . 2024. "International Comparison Program: A Worldwide Statistical Initiative to Collect Comparative Price Data and Detailed GDP Expenditures to Produce Purchasing Power Parities (PPPs) for the World's Economies." 2024. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/icp>.
- United Nations. 1975. "Report of the World Food Conference, Rome, 5-16 November 1974." In , 70. New York: United Nations. <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/701143>.
- . 2016. "United Nations Sustainable Development Goals." *United Nations Sustainable Development* (blog). 2016. <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals>.
- USDA Economic Research Service. 2022. "Food Security in the U.S. - Survey Tools." October 17, 2022. <https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-u-s/survey-tools>.
- USDA, and HHS. 2020. "Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025." 2020. <https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov>.
- Van, Duong Tt, Anna W. Herforth, Huong T. Trinh, Binh Tt Dao, Ha Tp Do, Elise F. Talsma, and Edith Jm Feskens. 2023. "Cost and Affordability of Healthy Diets in Vietnam." *Public Health Nutrition* 27 (1): e3. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980023002665>.
- Wallingford, Jessica K., Saskia de Pee, Anna W. Herforth, Sabrina Kuri, Yan Bai, and William A. Masters. 2024. "Measuring Food Access Using Least-Cost Diets: Results for Global Monitoring and Targeting of Interventions to Improve Food Security, Nutrition and Health." *Global Food Security* 41 (June):100771. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2024.100771>.
- World Food Summit. 1996. "Rome Declaration and Plan of Action." Rome, Italy: FAO. <https://www.fao.org/4/w3613e/w3613e00.htm>.


Supplementary Information

Figure S1. Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in least-cost healthy diets, Gross National Income or food expenditure per capita per day, and the number of people unable to afford a healthy diet in 2021

A. Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in least-cost healthy diets, GNI per capita per day, and the number of people unable to afford a healthy diet



B. Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in least-cost healthy diets, food expenditure per capita per day, and the number of people unable to afford a healthy diet



Note: Pen's Parade plots in panels A and B show the number of people unable to afford a healthy diet by country, represented by the width of each bar, in order of national income per person per day. Data shown in colored horizontal lines in panels A and B represent the cumulative Healthy Diet Basket food group costs in each country's least-cost healthy diet in 2021 in U.S. dollars at purchasing power parity prices per person per day, beginning with the cost of starchy staples as the lowest line in purple, then adding on the cost of legumes, nuts and seeds in blue, oils and fats in green, animal-source foods in yellow, vegetables in orange, and fruits to reach each country's Cost of a Healthy Diet (CoHD) in red, available from the World Bank Food Prices for Nutrition Database, version 3.0, at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/food-prices-for-nutrition>. The height of each dark purple bar represents a country's national income per person per day (panel A) or food expenditure per person per day (panel B). National income data are available from the World Development Indicators, at <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>.